# Secretary 06

# **Public Safety**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 2 of 201

Secretary 06	Public	Safety			rmt
Departmen	t of Milit	tary Affair	s (12	3)	
Service Area Agency Objective Develop mana Commonwealt Measure #1			mpleme	ntation of the Military Family Support program in the	
Determine how	w well serv	vices provide	d under	this program are meeting the expectations of military famil	lies.
Key Measure  Measure Metho	Measure Type Output		Preferre	d Trend	
		be develope	d to rece	eive feed back from military families that have received ser	vices in
<b>Measure Basel</b> i Value 50	I	Date 6/30	/2007	Description no current data exists on family satisfaction. Anecdotal	
<b>Measure Targe</b> Value		Date		information suggests a satisfaction rate of 50-60%  Description	

85% satisfaction rate as measured through a survey of 100% of VNG families with 60% response rate.

# **Measure Data**

85

Year	Annual Measure		
2007			
2008			

6/30/2007

# **Explanatory Note**

This is a new preformance measure for which there is no historicial data.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 3 of 201

Secretary 06 Public Safety

Department of Military Affairs (123)

# **Service Area**

**Tuition Assistance** 

# **Objective**

To fully man the Virginia Army National Guard units to support the Governor and civil authorities during natural disasters and civil unrest.

rmt

# Measure #2

We will increase the percentage of Army National Guard members to meet the National Guard Bureau personnel strength goal.

Key Measure		Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	X	Output		Up

# **Measure Methodology**

Personnel Data base, Standard Installation Division Personnel System (SIDPERS)

# **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description	
98.4	11/27/2006	98.4% of the National Guard Bureau Personnel goal of 7555 for FY-05 (equals 7434)	
Measure Target Value	Date	Description	
102.	11/27/2006	102% of the National Guard Bureau personnel goal of 7475 for FY-07 (equals 7625)	

# **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007	98.40	99.49	102.60	103.97
2008	102.50			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 4 of 201

Secretary	06	Publ	ic Safety	<b>,</b>							rmt
Departr	ment	of Mil	itary A	Affairs	(12	3)					
Service Ar Recruitme		entives									
Objective To reenlis	t perso	nnel int	to the Vi	rginia <i>i</i>	Army N	lational G	uard				
Measure #	#3										
Determine	e the p	ercenta	age of so	oldiers	and Aiı	rmen that	reenlist from th	ne total th	nat are elig	ible to reenlist.	
Key Measure	e M	Measure Type Output			Preferre	ed Trend					
				Up							
Measure N	/lethodo	ology									
NGB data	a on ret	ention	rates								
Measure B	Baseline	)									
Value			Date			Descriptio	n				
	85			7/1/2	2006	base lin	e is retention ra	ate FY 20	006		
Measure T	arget										
Value			Date			Descriptio	n				
	85			7/1/2	2006	Target i	s achieving the	NGB re	tention rate	e goal of 85%	
Measure Da	ata										
Year Ar	nual Me	asure									
2007											
2008											

# **Explanatory Note**

This measure was not being reported in Virginia Results during the previous periods.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 5 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safet	у			rmt		
Department	t of Military	Affairs (12	3)				
<u>Service Area</u> Virginia Commo	onwealth Challe	nge Program					
	<u>Objective</u> Establish and maintain a 100% placement rate of those Challenge cadets that successfully complete the five nonth intensive Challenge training program with no greater than a 2% recidivism rate						
Measure #4							
				ally completion of the Challenge training progra igher education divided by the total number of			
Key Measure	Measure Type Output	Preferre	ed Trend				
Measure Method	•	- 1					
Each month, fo	or one year after	a cadet gradu	ates, the	cadet will be contacted to determine their state	us.		
Measure Baseliı ∕alue	n <b>e</b> Date		Description	n			
96		7/1/1999	4% reci				
<b>Measure Target</b> /alue	Date		Description	1			
98		7/1/1999	The me	asure target is 2% recidivism			

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	92		
2007			
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 6 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department of	f Military Affairs (123)	

Armories Operations and Maintenance

# **Objective**

To operate and maintain the Commonwealths' Armories at a level that reflects positively on the Commonwealth and the Virginia National Guard.

# Measure #5

The dollar amount per square foot budgeted for Armory maintenance and repair.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Tre	Preferred Trend	
	Input	Up		

# **Measure Methodology**

The data would be retrieved from the CARS accounting system per object code and program and fund divided by the total number of square feet in all of the armories

# **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
1.81	6/30/2006	FY 05 expenditures were \$1.54 psf
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
4.20	6/30/2007	The measure target is \$3.58 per square foot, which is the current industry standard (data source: MEANS)

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	1.81		
2007			
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 7 of 201

Secretary 06	Publi	ic Safety				rmt
Departmer	nt of Mil	itary Affaiı	s (12	3)		
Service Area National Guar	d Operatio	ons (including	Auxiliar	y)		
Objective To determine fully utilized.	that the re	ecreation and	billeting	facilities a	vailable to the military a	nd civilian personnel are being
Measure #6						
Determine the	e Occupar	ncy rate of the	Morale	Welfare a	nd Recreation/billeting f	acilities.
Key Measure	Measure 1	Гуре	Preferre	ed Trend		
	Output		Up			
Measure Metho	odology					
Divide the total	al number	days availab	le for fac	ility occup	ancy divdided by the ac	tual number of days occupied.
Measure Base Value	line	Date		Description		
67.3	57	6/30	/2006	Current	occupancy rate is 67.37	%
Measure Targe	et					

Description

Annual average use of Facilities would be at 68% of availability

# **Measure Data**

68

Value

Year	Annual Measure		
2007			
2008			

Date

# **Explanatory Note**

This is a new preformance measure for the Service Area in Fiscal year 07.

6/30/2007

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 8 of 201

Secretary 06	Publi	c Safety		п	mt				
Departmen	Department of Military Affairs (123)								
√irginia commu	ued servic		Department	nt of Military Affairs, other state agencies, local governments an	d				
Measure #7									
The number of	f voluntee	er hours tha	at are provi	ided by Virginia Defense Force members.					
Key Measure	Measure T		Preferre	ed Trend					
Measure Metho	dology								
The Virginia Defense Force tracks and records hours volunteer for service.									
<b>Measure Baseli</b> /alue	ne	Date		Description					
75,000	)	6/	/30/2006	VDF performed 75,000 hours in FY-06					

Description

Increase volunteer hours to 80,000

# **Measure Data**

Value

**Measure Target** 

80,000

Year	Annual Measure		
2007			
2008			

6/30/2007

# **Explanatory Note**

This is new measure that was not previously reported.

Date

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 9 of 201

Secreta	ary U6	Publ	ic Satety	,								
Depa	Department of Military Affairs (123)											
<u>Objectiv</u>	y Servico <u>ve</u>		curity at	Depar	tment F	-acilities	thoughout the (	Common	wealth.			
Measur	e #8											
The nu	mber of	unautho	orized int	rusions	s into th	ne Depart	tments' facilities	3.				
Key Meas	Measure Type Output				Preferred Trend							
Measur	e Metho	dology										
The me	easure i	s determ	ined by	reviewi	ng the	number o	of incident repo	rts related	d to facilit	y attemp	oted intrus	sions.
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Baseli		Date	6/30/2	2006	Descriptio Zero rep	on ported intrusion	ıs in FY 0	<b>'</b> 6			
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Target 0		Date	6/30/2	2007	Descriptio Sustain	on n zero intrusion	rate				
<b>/leasure</b> Year	Data Annual N	/leasure						7				
2007 2008								_				
					1	1	1					

# **Explanatory Note**

This is a new measure for Fiscal year 2007.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 10 of 201

Secretary	06 Publ	ic Safety	•	rmt				
Departm	Department of Military Affairs (123)							
<u>Objective</u>	e growth and		on Operations se utilization c	of both Fort Pickett and the State Military Reservation.				
				ate funds that are being expended by the Virginia National Guard t Pickett an the State Military Reservation.				
Key Measure  Measure Me	Output		Preferre	ed Trend				
		fiscal yea	ar dollars exp	pended to the current fiscal year dollars expended.				
Measure Ba Value	seline	Date	6/30/2006	Description				
Measure Tal Value	rget 8	Date	6/30/2007	Description				
Measure Dat Year Ann 2007 2008			0/30/2007					
Explanatory	Note							

rmt

Secretary 06

This is a new measure in fiscal year 2007.

Page 11 of 201 Friday, January 04, 2008

Secretary 06	Public	Safety			rmt
Departmen	t of Milit	tary Affairs	(123	3)	
Service Area Other Facilities	Operation	ns and Mainte	nance		
				ve agreement (contract) relative to organizational maintenan National Guard operations and other 100% federally fund	ce
Measure #10					
Number of Fac	cilities that	meet Green i	readine	ss level as determined by the National Guard Bureau.	
Key Measure	Measure Ty	/pe	Preferre	d Trend	
	Outcome	•	Up		
Measure Metho	dology				
Data source is	National (	Guard Bureau	. NGB	divides facilities into Green, Yellow, Red categories.	
<b>Measure Baseli</b> ∕alue		Date		Description	
85	5	6/30/2	2006	currently ~85% Green	
Measure Target ∕alue		Date		Description	
90		6/30/2	2007	90% Green by NGB standard	

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2007			
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

This is a new measure for this service delivery area in fiscal year 2007

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 12 of 201

Secretary (	06 Publ	lic Safety	,	rmt				
Departm	Department of Military Affairs (123)							
Service Area Communica Objective Increase into	tions and W			ergency response				
Measure #1	1							
				nteroperable with other Federal State and Local agencies to improve ents and natural disasters.				
Key Measure	Measure <sup>1</sup>	• •	Preferre	red Trend				
	Output		Down	n				
Measure Me	thodology							
Decrease the over come.		of interop	peratability iss	sues identified during table top and other exercises that need to be				
Measure Bas	seline	Date		Description				
Value	0	Duto	6/30/2006	Beestiphen.				
<b>Measure Tar</b> Value	get	Date		Description				
	10		6/30/2007	Fully interoperable command and control verified through multiple exercises in several scenario (VERTEX, CAPITAL SHIELD, FEMA Hurricane response exercises)				
Measure Data Year Annu 2007	a ual Measure 							
2008								

rmt

**Explanatory Note** 

This is a new measure with no historicial data

Page 13 of 201 Friday, January 04, 2008

Secretary	Secretary 06 Public Safety rmt								
Department of Military Affairs (123)									
Service Are Communica Objective Increase in Measure #	– ations a terager			gency response					
Determine	that the	e Departme	ent is meeting th	e STARS fielding expectations.					
Key Measure	O	asure Type utcome	Preferre	ed Trend					
			y on the Departr	nents progress in meeting STARS fielding plan.					
Measure Baseline Value		Date	0/20/2000	Description					
	0		6/30/2006	current level of STARS fielding					
<b>Measure Ta</b> Value	rget	Date		Description					
	90		6/30/2007	90% fielding of STARS					

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2007			
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

This is an interagency action that is dependent on the lead agency interaction. This is a new measure in fiscal year 2007.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 14 of 201

Secretary 06 Public Safety

# Department of Military Affairs (123)

# **Service Area**

Disaster Assistance

### **Objective**

Provide a highly responsive Army and Air Guard community based capability that responds to the need of civil authorities in natural and man-made disasters including weapons of mass-destruction (WMD) events.

rmt

#### Measure #13

We will respond to disaster assistance requests within 8 hours.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
X	Output	Down

# **Measure Methodology**

Measurement will be response times during exercises, tests and actual responses. Data is gathered from After Action reports and JOC/EOC logs

### Measure Baseline

Micasare Dascille		
Value	Date	Description
12	11/27/2006	12 hours
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
8	11/27/2006	The target is a command and control capability at the incident site in 4 hours, and a fully operational capability in 12 hours.

### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				6.4
2007	0.5	0.0	0.0	3.0
2008	4.5			

# **Explanatory Note**

During the first quarter of FY-07 DMA was called to State active duty in anticipation of Hurricane Ernesto. One mission was assigned for which the response time was thirty minutes. During the 2nd and 3rd quarters, we had no missions where a no-notice response could be appropriately evaluated. During the month of May \*(4th quarter), DMA performed three no notice alerts. The goal was to determine the average response time of National Guard servicemembers to an emergency event. The average response time of the three events was 3 hours.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 15 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department o	f Military Affairs (123)	

Administrative and Support Services

# **Objective**

To ensure compliance with state and federal laws, regulations, rules and procedures related to accounting, personnel, budgeting and procurement.

# Measure #14

# Governor's Scorecard

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Up

# **Measure Methodology**

Governor's Scorecard; Meet expectations for all objectives.

Measure Baseline Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2006	Currently is 100% meets expectations
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2007	Sustain

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007			
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

This measure was not reported in Virginia Results in Fiscal Year 2006.

Page 16 of 201 Friday, January 04, 2008

# Department of Emergency Management (127)

# Service Area

Financial Assistance for Emergency Management and Response

#### **Objective**

Equitably disburse available financial resources for the effective enhancement of local emergency management programs and capabilities.

#### Measure #1

We will increase the average score of the Local Capability Assessment Review (LCAR) self assessment tool.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
X	Output	Up

# **Measure Methodology**

Local Capability Assessment for Readiness (LCAR) is a 13 component self assessment tool that measure Emergency Management Functions at the local government level. Each component is assigned a value from 1 to 5 with 5 the highest value you can receive.

# **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
3.2	10/31/2005	2005 LCAR results (3.20) on a 5.0 scale
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
3.65	9/30/2008	Increase the average by five percent each fiscal year

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	2.98		
2005	3.20		
2006	3.30		
2007	3.42		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

FY2007 average based on 89% of local governments information submitted by 8/31/2007.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 17 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Emergency Management (127)	

Financial Assistance for Emergency Management and Response

# **Objective**

Conform with external guidance and policy on the administration and management of public and non-public monies

#### Measure #2

Number of audit findings in Auditor of Public Accounts (APA)annual report for locality pass through funding.

Key Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Outcome		Down

# **Measure Methodology**

Total number of reportable findings for the previous five years divided by five for a five year average.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
.40	6/30/2006	Agency rolling average for preceding five years
Measure Target	Date	Description
.38	6/30/2008	Maintain audit findings within 5% of average

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2002	1		
2003	1		
2004	0		
2005	0		
2006	0		
2007			
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Results of the annual Auditor of Public Accounts (APA) audit are issued for the prior fiscal year by June 30 of the current year. VDEM over the past three fiscal years have not had any reportable audit findings. VDEM is currently preparing for its biennium audit.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 18 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department o	f Emergency Management (127)	

**Emergency Planning, Training and Exercises** 

# **Objective**

To increase the awareness level of individuals , general public as to emergency and disaster threats and the appropriate response to each.

# Measure #3

Percentage of general public with home emergency kits

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Up

# **Measure Methodology**

Biennium Survey results. Number of respondents that answer positive to home emergency question (s) as a percentage of total response received from survey

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date		Description
36		6/30/2005	Percentage of families reporting a home emergency kit. Baseline
			2005 survey.
Measure Target			
Value	Date		Description
38		12/31/2007	5% increase by December 2007

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	16		
2005	36		
2006	0		
2007			
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Survey for this measure is performed every 2 years dependent on available funding. Last survey was conducted in 2005. Next survey is schedule for second half of calendar year 2007. First survey was performed in FY2004

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 19 of 201

# Department of Emergency Management (127)

#### Service Area

**Emergency Planning, Training and Exercises** 

# Objective

To achieve a greater level of response capability to emergencies and disasters by emergency management organizations.

#### Measure #4

We will increase the percentage of corrective measures implemented by the Virginia Emergency Response Team (VERT) within 90 days following the annual Virginia Emergency Response Team Exercise (VERTEX) or a disaster activation

Key Measure		Measure Type		Preferred Trend
X		Outcome		Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Annual statewide drill. Number of identified corrective measures that are corrected within 90 days after the final After Action Report (AAR) as a percentage of total measures included in the final AAR.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	4/27/2006	Spring 2006 drill
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
100	9/30/2008	Implement 100% identified corrective measures within 90 days of VERTEX or event after action report

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2005	100		
2006	100		
2007	0		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Final AAR included 15 capabilitys items that included multiple components. All components within the capabilitys have been addressed. The state level exercise program was first conducted in FY98. Each exercise was formally eveluated and a written After Action Report (AAR) prepared. The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) introduced the Homeland Security Exercise and Evaluation Program in late FY04. DHS required all programs using DHS funds to include an improvement plan as part of the AAR. Each corrective action required a responsive person and establishing a timeline for completion. This format was first used for the FY05 exercise. Final AAR report for FY2007 drill is expected in first guarter of FY2008

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 20 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Emergency Management (127)	

**Emergency Planning, Training and Exercises** 

# **Objective**

To achieve a greater level of response capability to emergencies and disasters by emergency management organizations.

# Measure #5

Percent of change in the number of emergency responders completing emergency management courses

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Output		Up

# **Measure Methodology**

VDEM training systems. Total number of students that successfully complete emergency management classes each fiscal year.

# **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
6,803	6/30/2006	Number of responders successfully completing classes in FY2006
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
9,253	6/30/2008	Five (5) percent increase in number of responders completing classes in SFY2007

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
1997	435		
1998	575		
1999	1,316		
2000	1,167		
2001	1,650		
2002	1,942		
2003	2,616		
2004	3,200		
2005	4,200		
2006	6,803		
2007	8,813		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 21 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Emergency Management (127)	

Emergency Planning, Training and Exercises

# **Objective**

To achieve a greater level of response capability to emergencies and disasters by emergency management organizations.

# Measure #6

Percent of requests for participation in exercises VDEM accepts

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Number of request that are received from local, regional, state and national governmental agencies for exercises.

# **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2006	Percent of participation by VDEM in FY2006
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2008	VDEM participates in 100% of request received in FY2008

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2005	100		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

This measure is based on the number of request that qualify for the Homeland Security Exercise and Evaluation Program (HSEEP).Data collection for qualified HSEEP programs stated in FY2005.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 22 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Emergency Management (127)	

**Emergency Response and Recovery Services** 

# **Objective**

Enhance the capabilities of the Virginia Emergency Response Team (VERT) to coordinate the Commonwealth response during disasters and emergencies.

# Measure #7

Percentage of VERT staff that complete assigned training for the current fiscal year

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Output	Up	

# **Measure Methodology**

Number of VERT staff that have completed all assigned training as a percentage of total assigned VERT training..

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
0	6/30/2006	Percentage of VERT staff to completed assigned training during current fiscal year
Measure Target	Data	Description

value	Date	Description
63	6/30/2008	Increase percentage (5%) of VERT staff to finish all required
		training

### **Measure Data**

rear	Annuai Measure		
2007	60		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Data collection is on going. Informtion collected for this measure is self reported. VDEM anticipates this percentage to increase once VERT staff respond to request to submit training information.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 23 of 201

Secretary 06	Publi	c Safety			jgc
Departmen	t of Em	ergency	Manage	ment (127)	
Service Area Emergency Res Objective Enhance and e					
Annual attenda	ance at m	itigation out	reach ses	ssions	
Key Measure	Measure T Output	уре	Preferre Up	ed Trend	
		s that attend	d mitigatio	on outreach training during fiscal year.	
<b>Measure Baseli</b> Value	ne	Date		Description	
173	3	6/3	0/2005	Attendance for FY2005 sessions	
<b>Vleasure Target</b> √alue		Date		Description	

# **Measure Data**

302

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	256		
2005	173		
2006	105		
2007	275		
2008			

6/30/2008

# **Explanatory Note**

Amounts denote total attendance at mitigation outreach workshops. FY04 and FY05 reflects Isabel post disaster technical training. Prior to FY04 there were no technical training provided. The commonwealth did not have any federally declared disasters in FY05 or FY06.

Increase the annual attendance by 10%.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 24 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Emergency Management (127)	

Financial Assistance for Emergency Response and Recovery

# **Objective**

To enhance delivery of financial assistance to local governments, organizations, individuals, households and businesses following natural or human caused disasters

#### Measure #9

Elapsed time between major disaster response end and Governor's request for federal disaster assistance.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Output	Maintain	

# **Measure Methodology**

Number of days that pass after initial damage assessment data is collected and the governors written request is submitted for assistance.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
5	6/30/2004	FY2004 average time to request assistance was 5 days
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
5	6/30/2008	Send request letter within 5 days of disaster.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2007	5		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Local goverments have 72 hours to report Initial Damage Assessment (IDA) information to the Virginia Emergency Operation Center. An analysis of the information determines if there will be a governor's written request for federal assistance. Information for this reporting period is based on the June 2006 Rains/Floods. The commonwealth did not have any federally declared disasters in FY05 or FY06.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 25 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	of Emergency Management (127)	
Service Area	to for Engage and Decourse	

Financial Assistance for Emergency Response and Recovery

# **Objective**

To enhance delivery of financial assistance to local governments, organizations, individuals, households and businesses following natural or human caused disasters

#### Measure #10

Reduce the time between a federal declaration of a major disaster and delivery of federal/state disaster assistance grants.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Output		Down

# **Measure Methodology**

Number of days after Project worksheets (PW's) have been submitted and obligated by FEMA to when VDEM send request for payment

# Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description	
90	6/30/2004	Average time between federal declarations of other needs	
		assistance, Public Assistance and hazards mitigation from 2004 disasters.	
Measure Target			

Value	Date	Description
90	6/30/2007	Public Assistance project worksheets from local applicants.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2007			
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Project worksheets (PW's) will be obligated by FEMA through its financial tracking systems. Information for this measure has been requested. Data collection for measure may not be attainable from federal systems. The commonwealth did not have any federally declared disasters in FY05 or FY06.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 26 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department o	f Emergency Management (127)	

Financial Assistance for Emergency Response and Recovery

# **Objective**

To maximize reimbursement for infrastructure restoration following disaster with augmented Public Assistance Program

#### Measure #11

Percentage of eligible infrastructure project worksheets submitted by VDEM to be reimbursed through the Public Assistance Program

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Percentage of eligible public assistance projects for a disaster that maintain or increase the rembursement amount showed on the project worksheet submitted to FEMA with a 5% tolerance.

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
65	9/30/200	65% of eligible projects funded after Isabel-September 2003
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
95	6/30/200	95% of eligible projects fully funded

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2007	96		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Measurement is for the most recent disaster that data is available. Measure is based on June 2006 Rains/Floods. Information for TS Ernesto is being collected. Data not collected for FY2004. The commonwealth did not have any federally declared disasters in FY05 or FY06.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 27 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	of Emergency Management (127)	

Financial Assistance for Emergency Response and Recovery

# **Objective**

To properly managed FEMA-Commonwealth hazard mitigation grant program projects targeted to reduce damages to most vulnerable residential, non-residential structures and infrastructure

#### Measure #12

Increase in percentage of projects closed-out within performance period

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Outcome		Maintain	

# **Measure Methodology**

Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) project close-outs are closed in the performance period. Number of HMPG projects that are closed in a fiscal year within the performance period as a percentage of all projects closed.

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
85	6/30/2005	2005 project close-out 85 %
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
98	6/30/2008	Close-out 98% of HMGP projects within designated performance period

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2003	60		
2004	70		
2005	85		
2006	98		
2007	100		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 28 of 201

# Department of Emergency Management (127)

# **Service Area**

Virginia Emergency Operations Center (VEOC) and Communications

# **Objective**

Improve the accuracy and timeliness of the Emergency Communication and Warning Process

# Measure #13

Timeliness of Warnings and notification transmitted by Virginia Emergency Operations Center (VEOC).

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Output	Up	

# **Measure Methodology**

Number of warnings each quarter that are transmitted within 15 minutes as a percentage of all warnings received that are to be transmitted.

### Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description
88	6/30/2004	88% of warnings transmitted within 15 minutes of receipt at VEOC (FY2004)
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
95	6/30/2008	95% of warnings transmitted within 15 minutes of receipt at VEOC (FY2007)

# **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2002		75	99	100
2003			95	95
2004		64	95	94
2005		79	97	96
2006	99	92	98	98
2007	98	99	98	99
2008	86			

# **Explanatory Note**

One of the tools used to input information for warnings was not working correctly for 4 days. Information had to be enter into the system manually.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 29 of 201

Department of Emergency Management (127)	Secretary	06	Public Safety	jgc
	Departn	nent o	f Emergency Management (127)	

Administrative and Support Services

# **Objective**

To ensure that resources are used efficiently and programs are managed effectively, and in a manner consistent with applicable state and federal requirements.

# Measure #14

Percent of Governor's Management scorecard categories marked as meets expectations for the agency

Key Measure Measure Type Preferred Trend
Outcome Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Agency grade on the criteria that makes up the agency scorecard.

# **Measure Baseline**

Value Date Description

100 6/30/2005 The 2005 percentage calculated based on the agency scorecard.

# **Measure Target**

 Value
 Date
 Description

 100
 6/30/2008
 100%

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 30 of 201

Secretary 06 Public Safety

# Department of Criminal Justice Services (140)

# Service Area

Law Enforcement Training and Education Assistance

# **Objective**

Provide training and education on best practices and techniques and emerging issues and trends to criminal justice practitioners and allied professionals.

rmt

#### Measure #1

We will provide training and education to criminal justice practitioners and professionals that are rated at or above satisfactory by those attending the training.

Key Measure		Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
X		Outcome		Up	

# **Measure Methodology**

DCJS will qualitatively measure the value of its training by using an evaluation instrument that includes a Likert Scale: a) Strongly Agree; b) Agree; c) Somewhat disagree; d) Disagree; and e) Strongly disagree.

# **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description	
95	7/1/2005	95% of those who attended agency training in FY05 rated it as	
		satisfactory or above.	
Measure Target			
Value	Date	Description	
95	9/30/2006	DCJS training will be rated at satisfactory or above by at least 95% of those attending agency training events.	

# **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				
2007	90	90	100	100
2008	100	100		

# **Explanatory Note**

The baseline and target values represent percentages. Performance results are pending further refinement of the data collection process.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 31 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Denartment of	f Criminal Justice Services (140)	

Criminal Justice Research, Statistics, Evaluation, and Information Services

### **Objective**

To develop a statewide comprehensive criminal justice plan as directed by the Code of Virginia for the improvement of criminal justice.

#### Measure #2

A measure of the effectiveness of the plan is the planning process itself. This measure rates the planning process by surveying the broad spectrum of criminal justice and related professionals who participate in the development of the plan.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Those participating in the development of the plan will assess the rating of the planning process. Participants will include both local and state criminal justice professionals.

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
4	1/1/2007	The participant rating of the planning process will be on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the highest attainable rating.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
4	6/30/2008	The planning process will receive an average rating of 4 out of a possible 5.

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2007			
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

The planning process will begin in calendar year 2007. The baseline and target values are based on a maximum possible rating of 5.

The planning process did not actively began until the new director of policy and planning was hired on 02/12/07. Based on the preceived complexity of the project and resource requirements a new time line was established by the director. Based on that time line the project will not be completed until June 2008. An oversight committe has been established and is scheduled to meet in July 2007.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 32 of 201

Secretary 06 Public Safety

rmt

# Department of Criminal Justice Services (140)

#### **Service Area**

Coordination of Asset Seizure and Forfeiture Activities

# **Objective**

Distribute proceeds from assets seized and forfeited on drug cases as directed by the code of Virginia and the asset seizure program guidelines, to provide financial assistance to local law enforcement agencies.

#### Measure #3

The return of assets seized under this program allows localities to provide financial assistance to law enforcement agencies to support the local criminal justice system.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Down

# **Measure Methodology**

Assess quarterly the length of time to process and return proceeds from completed seized asset cases to the submitting locality.

# **Measure Baseline**

30

Value	Date	Description
30	7/1/2005	For FY05, DCJS returned approximately \$5 million to the
		submitting local law enforcement agencies.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description

DCJS will return proceeds from forfeited assets on average within 30 days of the final submission of a forfeited asset case.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	5.0	3.0	9.5	8.5
2007	8.0	18.0	13.0	7.0
2008	10.9	11.8		

6/30/2006

# **Explanatory Note**

The baseline and target values represent number of days to return proceeds.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 33 of 201

Secretary 06 Public Safety

# Department of Criminal Justice Services (140)

# Service Area

Financial Assistance for Administration of Justice Services

# Objective

Use all available federal and state funds to provide grants to eligible localities, state agencies, and non-profit organizations to support efforts to reduce crime.

rmt

#### Measure #4

We will review quarterly grant reports by agency grant monitors to review progress by grantees toward meeting grant goals.

Key Measure		Measure Type	Preferred Trend
X		Output	Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

DCJS cannot control the amount of funds it has available to distribute each year. That is determined by federal and state appropriations, accruals in special funds, and assets seized by local law enforcement. The measure is calculated using data from DCJS' Grant Management Information System (GMIS), which details the number of grants and the amount of funds awarded from its accounting and CARS documentation of the "599" payments made and from seized asset distribution records.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	7/1/2006	Agency grant monitors will review quarterly reports from grantees to determine progress toward meeting goals as stated in the grant application.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
100	9/20/2006	Review 100% of quarterly grant reports within 45 days of submission to DCJS.

### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				
2007	78	86	96	98
2008	98			

### **Explanatory Note**

Baseline and target values represent percentages. This is a new measure so data for previous quarters is not available.

Agency staff grants staff has determined that this process is still in need of refinement. They have identified increased training and system enhancements as the means to achieve this refinement.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 34 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department o	f Criminal Justice Services (140)	

Financial Assistance for Administration of Justice Services

# **Objective**

Use all available federal and state funds to provide grants to eligible localities, state agencies, and non-profit organizations to support efforts to reduce crime.

# Measure #5

On-site and other types of monitoring (e.g. via telephone or in meetings with groups of grant recipients).

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Oversight to ensure that grantees are meeting their stated grant goals will be done by onsite and other types of monitoring and by staff review of grant recipients' quarterly reports.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
25	7/1/2005	On-sight and other type of monitoring will be conducted annually.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
25	6/30/2006	Annually at least 25% of all outstanding grants will receive either a site visit or other monitoring.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	25		
2007	32		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

The baseline and target values represent percentages.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 35 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department of	of Criminal Justice Services (140)	

Administrative and Support Services

# **Objective**

Provide oversight for planning and the operations of the agency; provide employee training opportunities, recognition, compensation and benefits, and provide timely administrative support services in the areas of human resources, procurement, and finance.

#### Measure #6

Provide effective administrative support in specific areas in accord with the Governor's Management Scorecard to support the agency's mission.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Outcome		Up	

# **Measure Methodology**

The Governor's Management Scorecard is a list of standards by which agency management operations are evaluated and rated.

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
3	7/1/2005	In FY05 DCJS received a meets expectations in all areas of the
		Governor's Management Scorecard.
Measure Target		
Value	Date	Description
3	6/30/2007	DCJS will receive a meets expectations or better on the
		Governor's Management Scorecard for Fy07.

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	3		
2007	3		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Numerical values are required for baseline and target therefore we assigned a numerical value of 3 to the rating "meets expectation".

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 36 of 201

# Department of Criminal Justice Services (140)

# Service Area

**Business Regulation Services** 

# **Objective**

Conduct agency regulatory activities consistent with the Administrative Process Act.

#### Measure #7

Develop and promulgate regulations in adherence with the regulatory processes and requirements as defined in the State Administrative Process Act.

rmt

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Outcome		Maintain	

# **Measure Methodology**

DCJS will review regulatory files on an annual basis to determine if APA deadlines and other requirements have been met. DCJS will also coordinate its efforts with the Virginia Town Hall.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	7/1/2005	In FY05, DCJS maintained a 100% adherence rate with the Administrative Process Act time deadlines and requirements.
		Administrative Process Act time deadlines and requirements.
Measure Target		
Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2006	DCJS will meet the Administrative Process Act requirements and
		deadlines for agency regulatory programs 100% of the time.

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

This measure applies only to those aspects of the regulatory process that are under the control of the agency. The baseline and target values represent percentages.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 37 of 201

### Department of Criminal Justice Services (140)

#### **Service Area**

Financial Assistance to Localities Operating Police Departments

#### **Objective**

Distribute the "599" financial assistance to localities in accordance with the eligibility requirements, distribution formula, and timetable prescribed in the Code of Virginia.

#### Measure #8

Distribute appropriated financial assistance to eligible localities with police departments.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Maintain

### **Measure Methodology**

Funds were distributed as directed by the appropriation act and the code of Virginia. Agency personnel issued electronic payments and maintained source documents that verify the date payments were issued and the time period the payments covered.

#### Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description
5	7/1/2005	Funds will be distributed as directed by the Code of Virginia.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
5	6/30/2006	Funds will be distributed electronically to all eligible localities within 5 business days of the end of the calendar quarter.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	1	1	1	1
2007	1	1	1	1
2008	1	1		

### **Explanatory Note**

The baseline and target values represent days. Payments were made on the last day of each quarter.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 38 of 201

### Department of State Police (156)

#### **Service Area**

Information Technology Systems and Planning

### **Objective**

Maintain the Live Scan network for the efficient electronic submission of criminal and civil transactions

jgc

#### Measure #1

Number of criminal transactions submitted through the Live Scan network

Key Measure Measure Type Preferred Trend
Outcome Maintain

### **Measure Methodology**

The Information Technology Division tracks the number of criminal transactions submitted through Live Scan. Annual baseline and target figures were divided by four to allow quarterly measurement.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
52,620	12/31/2005	An average of 52,620 criminal transactions were transmitted through the Live Scan network in each quarter of CY2005.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
52,620	6/30/2008	Have at least 52,620 criminal transactions transmitted through the Live Scan network in each quarter of FY2008.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	59,120	54,507	58,453	61,383
2007	65,114	56,041	63,406	65,248
2008	70,136			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Previous figures for FY2007, Q3, have been amended due to a counting error in the NEC AFIS equipment.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 39 of 201

### Department of State Police (156)

#### **Service Area**

Information Technology Systems and Planning

#### **Objective**

Maintain the Live Scan network for the efficient electronic submission of criminal and civil transactions

jgc

#### Measure #2

Number of civil transactions submitted through the Live Scan network

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Output		Maintain	

### **Measure Methodology**

The Information Technology Division tracks the number of civil transactions submitted through Live Scan. Annual baseline and target figures were divided by four to allow quarterly measurement.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
19,321	12/31/2005	An average of 19,321 civil transactions were transmitted through
		the Live Scan network in each quarter of CY2005.
Measure Target	Data	Description
Value	Date	Description
19,321	6/30/2008	Have at least 19,231 civil transactions transmitted through the
		Live Scan network in each quarter of FY2008.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	28,156	18,977	20,356	19,284
2007	33,017	21,740	24,086	26,272
2008	40,960			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Figures for FY2007, Qtr 3, have been amended due to a counting error in the NEC AFIS equipment.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 40 of 201

### Department of State Police (156)

#### **Service Area**

**Criminal Justice Information Services** 

#### **Objective**

Enhance efficiency and effectiveness of criminal justice agencies and improve officer safety and public safety by ensuring the availability of VCIN.

jgc

#### Measure #3

The percentage of time each year the Virginia Criminal Information Network (VCIN) is available

Key Measure	re Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Output		Maintain

#### **Measure Methodology**

The Engineering Section of the Information Technology Division logs VCIN down time in minutes. The VCIN system should be available 24 hours a day, 365 day a year. Using down time, VSP will calculate the percentage of time each year VCIN is available for queries and responses.

#### Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description
99	6/30/2006	Percentage of down time in CY2006.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
99	6/30/2008	Ensure the Virginia Criminal Information Network (VCIN) is available at least 99% of the time in FY2007. Ensure the Virginia Criminal Information Network (VCIN) is available at least 99% of the time in FY2008.

### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007	99.0	99.0	100.0	99.9
2008	100.0			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 41 of 201

### Department of State Police (156)

### Service Area

Telecommunications and Statewide Agencies Radio System (STARS)

### **Objective**

Provide a reliable, statewide radio system.

#### Measure #4

Average time required to respond to and correct STARS trouble calls

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Outcome		Maintain	

### **Measure Methodology**

Trouble calls from STARS users are documented by the STARS Help Desk, to include the time the call was received and the time the problem was corrected. The response time for the call is the time between the initial receipt of the call and the time the problem is corrected and the call is closed by the STARS Help Desk. The time format is in days.

jgc

#### Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description
18.8	6/30/2007	The baseline was established in first half of FY2007.
Measure Target		
Value	Date	Description

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007	25.8	12.1	14.4	58.0
2008	12.0			

### **Explanatory Note**

Data began in FY2007. Data in FY2007 Q4 were skewed by tickets that were never closed when the complaint was remedied and were subsequently closed during audits in the last quarter of FY2007.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 42 of 201

#### **Service Area**

Firearms Purchase Program

### **Objective**

Prevent the illegal sale or purchase of firearms.

### Measure #5

Number of firearms retrievals necessitated by improper approvals or failure to approve in a timely manner

jgc

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Down

### **Measure Methodology**

The Firearms Transaction Program tracks the number of retrievals that were preventable by the department and not due to an outside agency's lack of information or dealer error.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
1.5	12/31/2004	The department initiated an average of 1.5 retrievals in each
		quarter of CY2004.
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
value	Date	Description
0.0	6/30/2008	Totally eliminate the need for firearms retrievals, resulting in zero
		retrievals in each quarter of FY2008.

#### **Measure Data**

Υ	ear	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
20	006	1	0	2	0
20	07	3	5	1	1
20	800	1			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Original annual baseline and target figures were divided by four to allow quarterly measurement.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 43 of 201

#### **Service Area**

Sex Offender Registry Program

#### **Objective**

Enhance public safety by making information available to citizens regarding the location of known sex offenders.

#### Measure #6

Number of visitors to the Sex Offender Registry website.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Up

### **Measure Methodology**

The number of visitors to the SOR website is available through a private vendor's website. The Sex Offender Registry (SOR) Website was modified in 2006 to meet General Assembly mandated changes. The modifications reset the inquiry count to zero. Later in 2006, the load balancer could no longer exclusively report visitors to the SOR website. A new count was started in March 2007 using a private vendor.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
584,221	6/30/2007	There were 584,221 visitors to the Sex Offender Registry Website in the last quarter of FY2007.
		Website in the last quarter of 1 12007.
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
value	Date	Description
608,907	6/30/2008	Increase the number of visitors to 608,907 for each quarter of
		FY2008.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				
2007				584,221
2008	633,592			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 44 of 201

### Service Area

Concealed Weapons Program

### **Objective**

Enhance public safety by identifying persons with concealed weapons permits who have been involuntarily committed for mental health reasons or who are the subject of protective orders.

#### Measure #7

Number of hits between the concealed weapons permit database and the protective order and mental health databases

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Maintain

#### **Measure Methodology**

The Firearms Transaction Center tracks the number of hits between the concealed weapons permit database and the mental health and protective order databases.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
78	6/30/2007	There was an average of 78 hits in each quarter of FY2006.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
79	6/30/2008	The target was based upon an average of hits in the past two fiscal years.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	92	16	117	86
2007	94	33	107	83
2008	127			

### **Explanatory Note**

Mental Health Notices began in the third quarter of FY2006. Original annual baseline and target figures were divided by four to allow quarterly measurement.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 45 of 201

# Department of State Police (156)

### Service Area

**Aviation Operations** 

### **Objective**

Provide aviation support for law enforcement operations.

### Measure #8

### Number of aviation missions completed

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Maintain

### **Measure Methodology**

The number of aviation missions completed is captured in the Mission Activity Report.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
578	12/31/2005	Since CY2000, the department has completed an average of 578
Measure Target		aviation missions per quarter.
measure ranger		

jgc

Value	Date	Description
715	6/30/2008	Complete 715 aviation missions in in each quarter of FY2008.
		The target is based upon average of previous eight quarters.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	841	711	618	731
2007	769	690	602	761
2008	810			

### **Explanatory Note**

Original baseline and target figures were divided by four to allow quarterly measurement.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 46 of 201

### Department of State Police (156)

#### **Service Area**

Commercial Vehicle Enforcement

#### **Objective**

Decrease crashes involving motor carriers by removing unsafe heavy commercial vehicles from the highway.

jgc

#### Measure #9

Number of unsafe heavy commercial vehicles placed out of service

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Output		Maintain

### **Measure Methodology**

The number of heavy commercial vehicles placed out of service is captured in the Safetynet database maintained by the Safety Division.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
1,970	12/31/2005	Sworn employees removed an average of 1,970 unsafe heavy commercial vehicles from the highways in each quarter of CY2005.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
2,131	6/30/2008	Have at least 2,131 unsafe heavy commercial vehicles placed out of service in each quarter of FY2008. The target is based upon

average of previous eight quarters.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	1,996	1,424	2,323	2,841
2007	2,293	2,156	1,710	2,301
2008	2,826			

### **Explanatory Note**

Original annual baseline and target figures were divided by four to allow quarterly measurement.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 47 of 201

jgc

#### **Service Area**

Counter-Terrorism

### **Objective**

Enhance the ability to proactively address terrorist threats by effectively collecting, analyzing and disseminating terrorism information.

### Measure #10

Number of intelligence bulletins disseminated each year

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Maintain

### **Measure Methodology**

The Criminal Intelligence Division tracks the number of intelligence bulletins disseminated.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
12	12/31/2005	Intelligence bulletins
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
24	6/30/2008	Disseminate at least 24 new or amended intelligence bulletins to local, state and federal agencies in each quarter of FY2008. The target is based upon average of previous eight quarters.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	25	16	14	12
2007	46	21	16	39
2008	44			

# **Explanatory Note**

Original annual baseline and target figures were divided by four to allow quarterly measurement.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 48 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department	of State Police (156)	

Help Eliminate Auto Theft (HEAT)

#### **Objective**

Reduce the number of motor vehicle thefts in Virginia.

#### Measure #11

Number of motor vehicle thefts in Virginia

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Down

### **Measure Methodology**

Statistics on the number of motor vehicle thefts in Virginia is collected by the Uniform Crime Reporting Section of the Virginia Department of State Police. Motor vehicle theft is the unlawful taking or stealing of a motor vehicle, including attempts. Statistics compiled by the Uniform Crime Reporting Section include all thefts of motor driven vehicles such as automobiles, trucks, buses, motorcycles, etc., in this category.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
17,566	12/31/2003	In CY2003, there were 17,566 motor vehicle thefts in Virginia.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
14,750	6/30/2008	Reduce motor vehicle thefts in Virginia to 14,750 in CY2007 and 14,500 in CY 2008.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2003	17,566		
2004	17,309		
2005	16,344		
2006	14,765		
2007			
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Data are reported by calendar year. Statewide results are posted in April of the following calendar year.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 49 of 201

jgc

## Department of State Police (156)

### Service Area

**Drug Enforcement** 

### **Objective**

Continue to enforce drug laws in Virginia.

#### Measure #12

Number of drug arrests made by Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) personnel, including task forces and specialty units.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Output		Up

### **Measure Methodology**

Information pertaining to the number of drug arrests made is maintained in the department's Field Activity Computerized Tracking System (FACTS). The arrests codes counted include 2800 (Drugs: Manufacture, Sale, Distribution), 2801 (Drugs: Possession) and 2802 (Drugs: Other). Arrest statistics are based on the number of charges filed.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
2,654	12/31/2005	In CY2005, Bureau of Criminal Investigation personnel, including
		task forces and specialty units, made 2,654 drug arrests.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
5,972	6/30/2008	Have BCI personnel, including task forces and specialty units, make at least 5,972 drug arrests in FY2008. The target is based upon actual arrests during the past eight quarters.

### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	1,551	1,262	1,992	1,625
2007	1,556	988	1,539	1,431
2008	1,342			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 50 of 201

### Department of State Police (156)

### Service Area

Crime Investigation and Intelligence Services

### **Objective**

To investigate and solve crimes in Virginia.

#### Measure #13

Number of arrests made by BCI personnel, including task forces and specialty units.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Up

### **Measure Methodology**

Information pertaining to the number of arrests made is maintained in the department's Field Activity Computerized Tracking System (FACTS). Arrest statistics are based on the number of charges filed.

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description		
6,037	12/31/2005	In CY2005, BCI personnel, including task forces and specialty units, made 6,037 arrests.		
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description		
9,948	6/30/2008	Have BCI personnel, including task forces and specialty units, make at least 9,948 arrests in FY2008. The target is based upon average of previous eight quarters.		

jgc

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	2,745	2,110	3,893	2,323
2007	2,557	1,653	2,436	2,182
2008	1,977			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 51 of 201

jgc

#### Service Area

Uniform Patrol Services (Highway Patrol)

Department of State Police (156)

#### **Objective**

Enhance public safety by investigating and successfully closing cases concerning sex offenders who fail to register in accordance with the Code of Virginia.

### Measure #14

We will increase the percentage of the investigations successfully closed involving sex offenders failing to register.

Key Measure		Measure Type		Preferred Trend
X		Outcome		Up

### **Measure Methodology**

Information pertaining to the number of closed cases involving sex offenders failing to register is maintained in the department's Field Activity Computerized Tracking System (FACTS). The percentage is calculated by dividing the number of closed, unfounded and inactive-warrant on file cases each quarter by the total number of cases opened that quarter.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
89.1	12/31/2005	In CY2005, 89.1 percent of the cases were successfully closed involving sex offenders who failed to register.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
78.6	6/30/2008	Successfully close at least 78.6 percent of cases involving sex offenders failing to register in FY2008. The target is based upon cases closed during the previous eight quarters.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	91.7	83.5	85.3	82.3
2007	84.7	66.1	67.4	67.6
2008	76.7			

### **Explanatory Note**

Data for FY2007 was affected by a change in legislation adding all sex offenders to the website. Cases increased by several thousand for persons not notifying VSP of address change; reducing the percentage of cases closed. The Sex Offender Investigative Unit is authorized 40 positions. The unit was not fully staffed until May 10, 2007.

Page 52 of 201 Friday, January 04, 2008

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department o	of State Police (156)	

Uniform Patrol Services (Highway Patrol)

#### Objective

Improve the response to citizens requesting police services to ensure the safety of victims and to increase the likelihood of apprehending offenders.

#### Measure #15

We will increase the percentage of crime victims and individuals involved in traffic accidents who rate their experience with the department as 'Very Good' or 'Excellent'.

Key Measure		Measure Type		Preferred Trend
X		Outcome		Up

#### **Measure Methodology**

The Office of Performance Management and Internal Controls conducts an annual citizen survey to determine the level of customer satisfaction with department operations. Survey questions are designed to solicit information regarding assistance received from the dispatcher or call-taker, timeliness of response, assistance provided on-scene, follow-up investigation, professional competence, fairness, and overall quality of service. Survey respondents are randomly selected from citizens involved in accidents reported to the State Police during the first quarter of the year (excluding fatalities). In addition, all victims of selected, non-violent crimes reported to the State Police during the first quarter of the year are surveyed. In order to fairly represent results, survey questions marked "Not Applicable" or left blank are not included in the calculations.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
84.9	12/31/2004	In CY2004, 84.9 percent of survey respondents rated the overall quality of service as "Excellent" or "Very Good."
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
84.0	12/31/2008	Receive an overall quality rating of "Excellent" or "Very Good" from at least 82 percent of survey respondents in CY2007 and 84 percent in CY2008.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	84.9		
2005	80.6		
2006	81.9		
2007			
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

CY2007 results will be available in January 2008.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 53 of 201

### jgc

### Department of State Police (156)

### Service Area

Uniform Patrol Services (Highway Patrol)

### **Objective**

Decrease the response time to citizen calls for service.

#### Measure #16

We will decrease the average response time to emergency calls.

Key Measure Mea		Measure Type	asure Type	
X		Outcome		Down

### **Measure Methodology**

The response time is calculated from the time an incident is entered into the Computer-Aided Dispatch system until the time a unit arrives at the scene. Only incidents that meet the following criteria are included: 1) The incident is entered by the communications center; 2) The primary unit is marked on scene with the dispatcher; 3) The call type is an emergency priority; 4) The call is handled by a unit assigned to one of the BFO areas. Calls handled by Medflight are not included. Times are displayed in minutes and tenths of a minute format.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
18.9	3/31/2005	During the first quarter of CY2005, the average response time was 18.9 minutes.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
18.5	6/30/2008	Decrease the average response time to 18.5 minutes in each quarter of FY2008.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	18.7	19.8	18.1	18.1
2007	18.9	18.9	19.1	18.6
2008	18.8			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 54 of 201

### Department of State Police (156)

#### **Service Area**

Motorists Assistance Program

### **Objective**

Improve highway safety by providing assistance to motorists.

#### Measure #17

### Number of assists provided by motorist assistance aides

Key Measur	e Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Maintain

### **Measure Methodology**

Data regarding motorist assistance is maintained in the Motorist Assistance System. This system is designed to collect information on assistance rendered by the motorist assistance aides.

jgc

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
14,581	12/31/2004	In each quarter of CY2004, motorist assistance aides provided an average of 14,581 assists to motorists.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
13,250	6/30/2008	Have motorist assistance aides provide at least 13,250 assists to motorists in each quarter of FY2008. The target is based upon average of previous eight quarters.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	15,441	13,709	11,406	13,335
2007	13,790	12,890	11,558	13,868
2008	11,858			

#### **Explanatory Note**

There have been several vacancies that have impacted the number of assists in FY2007. Three permanent positions were vacant between 114 and 214 days due to the inability to attract qualified personnel and the need to re-advertise the positions. Seven wage positions have been vacant between 50 and 375 days. One aide was killed and one has been on extended disability leave due to a work-related injury. Original annual baseline and target figures were divided by four to allow quarterly measurement.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 55 of 201

### Department of State Police (156)

#### **Service Area**

Insurance Fraud Program

### **Objective**

Decrease insurance fraud in Virginia.

### Measure #18

#### Number of arrests for insurance fraud and related offenses

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Output		Maintain

#### Measure Methodology

The number of arrests for insurance fraud and related offenses is collected in the Insurance Fraud Program database.

jgc

#### Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description
306	12/31/2005	In CY2005, sworn employees made 306 arrests for insurance fraud and related offenses.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
296	6/30/2008	Make at least 296 arrests for insurance fraud and related offenses in FY2008. The target is based on average for previous eight quarters.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	141	105	81	35
2007	56	30	39	107
2008	96			

#### **Explanatory Note**

The arrest statistics vary according to the number of notifications received, number of criminal investigations assigned, number of special agents in each office to receive assignments and the success of the investigation including the authorization by prosecutors. A notification is received, entered into the database and sent to a field office for assessment to determine if there is enough information to officially open a criminal investigation. Once the criminal investigation is conducted and provided there is sufficient evidence that the commonwealth's attorney agrees to prosecute, the process continues through adjudication in the court system. Depending on the complexity of the investigation, as with some conspiracies worked in the past, the process could take several months or, as happened before, could continue for three or four years. The more complex investigations usually involve quite a long time and conclude with several arrests being made. During the investigation, arrest figures fall due to manpower and term of investigation. At the conclusion, numbers of arrests increase accordingly. If the investigation is in conjunction with a Federal Agency, it routinely takes considerable time for the US Attorney to authorize an arrest. The arrest for related offenses arrives out of the insurance fraud investigations in which addition charges are placed. Additionally, only a small percentage of the total notifications received end up with someone being arrested.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 56 of 201

jgc

### Service Area

Vehicle Safety Inspections

#### **Objective**

Ensure the integrity of the Motor Vehicle Safety Inspection Program by conducting periodic visits of inspection stations.

#### Measure #19

### Number of station visits conducted

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Maintain

Date

### **Measure Methodology**

Data concerning inspection station visits by Safety Division personnel is maintained in the Weekly Activity Report System.

Description

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value

	24.0	2000
8,430	12/31/2004	In each quarter of CY2004, Safety Division personnel conducted an average of 8,430 inspection station visits.
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
9,019	6/30/2008	Have Safety personnel conduct at least 9,019 inspection station visits in each quarter of FY2008.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	9,224	8,110	9,223	9,301
2007	9,197	9,505	9,147	8,448
2008	9,202			

### **Explanatory Note**

Original annual baseline and target figures were divided by four to allow quarterly measurement.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 57 of 201

### Service Area

Administrative and Support Services

### **Objective**

Ensure that resources are used efficiently and programs are managed effectively, and in a manner consistent with applicable state and federal requirements.

jgc

#### Measure #20

Percentage of Governor's Management Scorecard categories marked as "meets expectations"

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Outcome	Up	•	

### **Measure Methodology**

The Virginia Excels website (http://www.vaexcels.governor.virginia.gov/performance/scorecardresults.cfm) contains Management Scorecard results for state agencies.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
70	12/31/2006	Quarterly scorecard data was not captured in FY2006.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2008	Achieve a score of "meets expectations" on 100 percent of the Governor's Management Scorecard categories in FY 2008.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	0	0	0	0
2007	70	70	80	75
2008	75			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Quarterly scorecard data was not captured in FY2006. Data for the first qarter FY2008 cannot be calculated until scorecard data for VaPP is available and FY2008 citeria are posted.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 58 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety		rwh
Secretary o	of Public Safety	(187)	
Service Area			
Agency			
<u>Objective</u>			
	ct policy, ensure that emergencies and disa	agencies have adequate resources, and hold them accou asters.	ntable for
Measure #1			
Forecast accu	racy		
Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Input		
Measure Metho	dology		
		e responsible, adult locally responsible, juvenile state respulated as: ([projected population - actual population] /actual	
/leasure Baseli	no		
/alue	Date	Description	
#Erro	r	To be established in 2006.	
/leasure Target	t		
/alue	Date	Description	

Improve forecast accuracy annually.

# **Measure Data**

#Error

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				
2007				
2008				

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 59 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Secretary of	Public Safety (187)	
Service Area	10 10 1	

Administrative and Support Services

#### **Objective**

To ensure that resources are used efficiently and programs are managed effectively, and in a manner consistent with applicable state and federal requirements.

#### Measure #2

Percent of agencies that "Meet Expectations" in each of the five management functions in Virginia Excels.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	

### **Measure Methodology**

Agencies can score: Meets Expectations, Progress toward Expectations or Below Expectations in each of the five management functions described above. Score determined by total of agencies' that "Meet Expectations" divided by the total number of agencies in the secretariat.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
#Error		75%
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
#Error		100%

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				
2007				
2008				

#### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 60 of 201

Secretary 06	Publ	ic Safety			smc
Departmer	nt of Co	rrection	al Educat	ion (750)	
Service Area Adult Communobjective Increase the n Centers.	-			he Productive Citizenship Program at Detention & Diversion	
Measure #1					
We will increa Citizenship Pr				version or detention programs that will complete the Produc	tive
Key Measure	Measure Output	Гуре	Preferre	d Trend	
Each month tl	he DCE C			s Director will analyze the reports and keep track of the ratio note the progress. Program completers will be aggregated	of
<b>Measure Basel</b> Value	line	Date		Description	
7	0		7/1/2005	70% based upon the previous fiscal year	
<b>Measure Targe</b> Value	et	Date		Description	
7	5		7/1/2008	75% by 2008	

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	76		
2007	93		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 61 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	smc
Department of	f Correctional Education (750)	

Adult Community Instructional Services

#### **Objective**

Raise the number of students completing GED certificates at Community Corrections sites.

#### Measure #2

We will increase the number of GEDs earned annually.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Up

### **Measure Methodology**

A record of the names of the students tested and GED's completed will be reported to the DCE Community Corrections Director. The Director will keep a running total each year and report as needed.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
130	7/1/2005	2005 - 130 GEDs earned
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
137	7/1/2008	

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	114		
2007	136		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Appalachian Detention GED numbers left out of this fiscal year. Will be included on the next fiscal year. Also several vacancies for six months. Next year numbers should be much higher.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 62 of 201

### Department of Correctional Education (750)

#### **Service Area**

Youth Instructional Services

#### **Objective**

Increase the level of educational gains of students enrolled in juvenile academic programs

#### Measure #3

We will meet or exceed the current DOE graduation rate of students pursuing an advanced studies, standard, modified standard, or special diplomas that remain in a DCE school for four years.

Key Measure Measure Type Preferred Trend
Output Maintain

### **Measure Methodology**

Virginia Department of Education (VDOE) demographic student profile for high school graduation rates

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value

Date

Description

77

78 - This data was based upon DOE's graduation rate that identifies seniors that were enrolled in the 9th grade. DCE identifies seniors in Sept. of each year. We have a 100% graduation rate but expect a decrease in the next 3 yrs. due to higher req.

#### **Measure Target**

Value Date Description

77 7/1/2010 Meet or exceed the graduation rate of DOE.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

The Department of Education's graduation is based upon the enrollment of students in the 9th grade. The Department of Correctional Education's graduation rate is based upon the enrollment of students in September

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 63 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	smc
Department o	f Correctional Education (750)	

Youth Instructional Services

### **Objective**

Increase the level of educational gains of students enrolled in juvenile academic programs

### Measure #4

We will increase the passing rates for the SOL's

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
X	Output	Up

Doto

### **Measure Methodology**

The testing scores of all students in the Department of Correctional Education's juvenile schools completing the end of course SOLs for school year and the percentage of those scores which were passing.

Description

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value

value	Date	Description
50	6/15/2007	The baseline is based upon the average of the past three yearseach year is an average of the passing rate on the four core content areas. The results are: 03-04 - 40%, 04-05 - 54%, 05-06 - 56%, giving an average of 50% baseline.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
70	7/1/2014	Increase annually to reach 70% passing rate in all End- of- Course SOLs by 2011 for state accreditation

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	50		
2007	73		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 64 of 201

Secret	ary 06	Publ	ic Safety	у		smc
Depa	rtmen	t of Co	rrectio	nal Educat	tion (750)	
Service Youth		onal Serv	vices			
Objecti Increas		vel of ed	ucationa	al gains of stu	dents enrolled in juvenile academic programs	
Measu	re #5					
We wi	ll mainta	in or exc	eed the	passing rate	for students enrolled in the GED program	
Key Mea	sure	Measure <sup>-</sup>	Type	Preferre	ed Trend	
		Output	,,	Up		
Measui	re Metho	dology				
GED t	est data	as provi	ded by \	/DOE on a ca	alendar year	
Measu	re Baseli	ne				
Value	- Duco		Date		Description	
	78	3		1/15/2005	State 67% - DCE 78%- based upon calendar year 200	5
	re Target	t				
Value			Date		Description	
	80	)		1/15/2010	Increase by 2% by 2010	
Measure Data						
Year	Annual N	Measure				
2006		83				
2007		79				
2008						
Explan	atory No	te				

. .

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 65 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	smc
Department o	f Correctional Education (750)	

Youth Instructional Services

### **Objective**

Increase the usage of libraries in the youth schools

### Measure #6

We will increase the circulation of materials in youth libraries

Key Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Up

### **Measure Methodology**

The DCE librarians will generate a report from the Athena system on a quarterly basis. The name of this report is the Usage Statistics Report (using the Daily/Monthly Display).

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
7,513	7/1/2006	7,513 books circulated in the 05-06 school year
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
7,738	7/1/2008	Increase circulation by 3% by 2008

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	7,513		
2007	9,166		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

This reflects total circulation in 5 youth schools. Three other schools were unable to provide the data.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 66 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	smc
Department o	f Correctional Education (750)	

Career and Technical Instructional Services for Youth and Adult Schools

### **Objective**

Increase the level of educational gains and achievements of students enrolled in DCE programs.

#### Measure #7

We will increase the average percentage of program competencies completed by students in adult CTE programs.

Key Measure Type		Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	(	Output	Up

### **Measure Methodology**

Source: AESIS and "Certification of Local School Performance" document. Calculation: Average percent of competencies completed will be compared to baseline data. Anticipate a .25% increase each year

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date		Description	
96.4		7/1/2004	96.4% - FY 01-04	
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date		Description	
97.12		7/1/2008	97.12% by FY 08 percent will be increased to	Fiscal Year 2007-08 The average to 97.12%.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	97.02		
2007	100.00		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 67 of 201

Secretary (	06	Public Safety	smc
Departme	ent of	f Correctional Education (750)	

Career and Technical Instructional Services for Youth and Adult Schools

#### **Objective**

Increase the level of educational gains and achievements of students enrolled in DCE programs.

#### Measure #8

We will increase the number of points reflecting the difference between the pre and posttests scores for technical trade knowledge.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Output	Up	

### **Measure Methodology**

Source: Student competency lists and "Certification of Local School Performance" document. Calculation: Average difference in pre and posttests scores is compared to baseline data. Baseline average is subtracted from the current year average and compared to current year's goal.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
18.5	7/1/2004	The current baseline is 18.5 - FY 02-04
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
19.5	7/1/2007	By FY 2007, students who complete juvenile courses will increase by 1 point.

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	26.11		
2007	29.07		
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 68 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	smc
Department of	f Correctional Education (750)	

Career and Technical Instructional Services for Youth and Adult Schools

### **Objective**

Increase the level of educational gains and achievements of students enrolled in DCE programs.

#### Measure #9

We will ensure that we the percentage of juveniles completing more than 85 percent of the established tasks increases by a minimum of 0.25% per year

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Output	Up	

#### **Measure Methodology**

Source: Student competency lists and "Certification of Local School Performance" document. Calculation: Percentage of students completing more than 85% competencies is compared to the annual target.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
93.3	7/1/2004	93.3% - FY 01-04
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
93.99	7/1/2008	Fiscal Year 2007-2008 the percent will be increased to 93.99%

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 69 of 201

smc

### Department of Correctional Education (750)

#### Service Area

Career and Technical Instructional Services for Youth and Adult Schools

### **Objective**

Increase the workforce preparedness for the 21st century of students enrolled in DCE Career and Technical programs.

#### Measure #10

We will increase the number of Industry-Based Certification programs for adult construction trade areas.

Key Meas	Key Measure Type		Preferred Trend
X		Output	Up

### **Measure Methodology**

Source: Central Office tracking form. Calculation: Programs with requisite trained instructors and instructional/testing materials as required by certification program.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
24	7/1/2006	24 programs currently in place
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
41	7/1/2008	41 programs implemented in FY 07-08

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	24		
2007	35		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

This program began in FY 06. We have a limit of 41 contruction trade programs so once that target is met it will be necessary select another measure.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 70 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	smc
Department of	f Correctional Education (750)	

Career and Technical Instructional Services for Youth and Adult Schools

### **Objective**

Improve community reintegration and reduce recidivism through exemplary transitional programs.

### Measure #11

We will increase the number of points between pre and posttests on the Productive Citizenship Program

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	Pref
	Output	Up	Up

### **Measure Methodology**

Source: Teacher test score form. Calculation: Difference between average post-test score and pre-test score for program completers.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
7.44	7/1/2004	7.44 point difference - FY 03-04
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
8.61	7/1/2008	Fiscal Year 2007-08 the average number of points will be 8.61 difference

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	8.55		
2007	9.10		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 71 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	smc
Department o	f Correctional Education (750)	

**Adult Instructional Services** 

### **Objective**

Increase the level of educational gains of students enrolled in DCE adult academic programs

#### Measure #12

We will increase the average percentage of the six ABE level completions annually

Key Measure	re Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Output		Up

### **Measure Methodology**

The Adult Enrollment and Student Information System (AESIS). The total six ABE level completions are added and divided by the total ABE enrollments.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
23.8	7/1/2004	A baseline data of 23.8% was established based on a review of
		records for the period fiscal years 2001-02 to 2003-04
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
25	7/1/2010	Based on the above baseline data, the following reflects the targets established for the average percent of level completions by students:By 2009-10 the agency will meet or exceed 25%

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	33		
2007	27		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 72 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	smc
Department of	f Correctional Education (750)	

**Adult Instructional Services** 

### **Objective**

Increase the level of educational gains of students enrolled in DCE adult academic programs

#### Measure #13

We will meet or exceed the State passing rate of students taking the GED

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Output		Up

### **Measure Methodology**

All GED examinee data will be originate from the Oklahoma Testing Service. The data will include the number of GED students who took the exam and the number who passed. The passing rate will be calculated from this data

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description		
78	1/15/2004	state 67% - DCE 78% - based upon calendar year 2004		
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description		
80	1/15/2010	Increase by 2% by 2010		

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	83		
2007	79		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

This is the agency-wide passing rate. We had some problems in the youth schools with regard to eligibility, etc. We anticipate the passing rate this year to far exceed this year's rate.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 73 of 201

Secretary 06 Public Safety

### Department of Correctional Education (750)

#### **Service Area**

**Adult Instructional Services** 

#### **Objective**

Increase the academic-vocational linkages to improve workforce preparedness for the 21st century

#### Measure #14

We will increase the percentage of inmates tested on the WorkKeys assessment that earn a Career Readiness Certificate.

smc

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
X	Output	Up

#### **Measure Methodology**

The agency will administer the Work Keys test to determine the level of certification that will be awarded students. The tests will be scored by an external organization and the results sent to DCE. Academic Programs Division will compute percent of Career Readiness Certificates earned as well as the levels, i.e. gold, silver or bronze, earned.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
93	7/1/20	Since 1/06 to 6/30/06 528 have been tested and 93% of those tested have earned a CRC
Manager Tayont		tested flave earlied a CNC
Measure Target		
Value	Date	Description
95	7/1/20	= j = 1
		with 95% earning a CRC

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				93.1
2007	93.1	93.0	91.3	89.0
2008	92.4			

#### **Explanatory Note**

We will begin to calculate the number of eligible inmates to take the WorkKeys starting in January, 2007 and include the eligibility number in our report at that point.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 74 of 201

Secretary 06	Public S	Safety	Sino		
Departmen	Department of Correctional Education (750)				
	am evaluatio		o five years to determine impact on reduction of criminal recidivism unts		
The rate of red	idivism for <sub>l</sub>	participants in adu	ult academic programs compared to non-participants		
Key Measure  Measure Metho	Measure Type Output	pe Prefer	rred Trend		
	t of inmates		released in the past year. Track the cohort to determine re-arrest,		
<b>Measure Basel</b> i Value		ate	Description		
#Erro	r		DCE is in the process of reviewing data from past studies to determine the baseline recidivism impact		
<b>Measure Targe</b> Value		ate	Description		
#Erro	r		To use evaluation data to improve program outcomes by FY 2010		
Measure Data					
Year ?					
2006	?				
2007	?				
2008	?				
Explanatory No	te				

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 75 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	smc
Department o	f Correctional Education (750)	

**Adult Instructional Services** 

#### **Objective**

Increase the usage of libraries in adult schools

#### Measure #16

We will increase the circulation of materials in adult libraries

Key Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Up

#### **Measure Methodology**

The DCE librarians will generate a report from the Athena system on a quarterly basis. The name of this report is the Usage Statistics Report (using the Daily/Monthly Display).

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
484,313	7/1/2006	484,313 - based upon circulation of books in 2005
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
498,842	7/1/2008	Increase circulation by 3% by 2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	484,313		
2007	344,943		
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

We were only able to solicit statistics from 14 of our schools as opposed to the 28 reported in 2006. Therefore, we would demonstrate an increase if we could project the increase per school and project it for all of the 28 schools.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 76 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	smc
Department of	f Correctional Education (750)	

Instructional Leadership and Support Services

#### **Objective**

Hire and retain highly qualified Instructional Leaders to supervise the operations of the schools and the instructional programs

#### Measure #17

We will reduce the impact of the attrition rate of principals and assistant principals

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Down

#### **Measure Methodology**

Human Resource data

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
10	7/1/2006	10 principals eligible for retirement or 10 possible vacancies - FY
		06-08

### **Measure Target**

١	/alue	Date	Description
	95	7/1/2010	recruit and hire 95% of principal vacancies by 2010

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	75		
2007	100		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

We had four vacancies in this fiscal year. Three of the four were filled by our first choice.

We had two vacancies in 2007 which were filled within 60 days with our first choice.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 77 of 201

Secretary 06	ecretary 06 Public Safety smc							
Departmen	Department of Correctional Education (750)							
Service Area Administrative and Support Services Dejective Ensure that resources are used efficiently and programs are managed effectively, and in a manner consistent with applicable state and federal requirements								
We will improv	e our succes	stul rate of recrui	tment and	hiring of instructional positions				
Key Measure	Measure Type Output	Preferre	ed Trend					
Measure Metho								
Human Resou	rce recruitme	nt and hiring data	a for FY 04	-05.				
<b>Measure Baseli</b> /alue	<b>ne</b> Date		Description					
60	)	7/1/2005	60% of t	he first choice interviewees are hired				
<b>Measure Target</b> /alue	t Date		Description					
65	5	7/1/2010		successful recruitment and hiring of instructi by 65% first choice hired by 2010	onal			

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	86		
2007	89		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 78 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Sa	fety			smc
Departmen	t of Correc	tional Educat	ion (750	)	
	sources are us			are managed effectively, and in a manner consist	ent
We will meet a	nt least 95% p	ercent in each of	the Govern	or's Management Scorecard categories	
Key Measure	Measure Type Output	Preferre Mainta			
		and Budget's Pe	erformance	Management Report	
/leasure Baseli /alue		_	Description	,	
100	)	7/1/2005	100% - ba	ased upon the past two fiscal years	
<b>/leasure Target</b> /alue	t Date		Description		
100	)	7/1/2009	continue t	o receive 100% thru FY 09	

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 79 of 201

Secretary 06	Public	Safety							smc
Departmen	t of Cor	rectional E	ducat	ion (75	50)				
Service Area Administrative of Objective Ensure that resoluth	sources ar	e used efficie			ns are mar	naged effe	ectively, and	in a manner	consistent
Measure #20									
We will decrea	se the tur	nover rate of	Trainer	and Instr	uctor II po	sitions			
Key Measure	Measure Ty Output	ype	Preferre Down	d Trend					
Measure Metho	dology								
Data is collecte	ed from we	orkforce planı	ning rep	orts prov	ided by the	e Departn	nent of Hum	an Resource	Management
<b>Measure Baseli</b> /alue		Date		Description	n				
16.7	7	7/1/2	2005	Current	turnover r	ate is 16.	7%		
Measure Target									

13

Value

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	13.3		
2007	14.4		
2008			

7/1/2010

Date

### **Explanatory Note**

We still have difficulty in being fully competitive with surrounding jurisdictions, especially in our youth schools in the Richmond region. Since we have not received funding to parity we often lose our teachers to more competitive salaries since they do not have to work but 10 months a year.

Decrease the rate to 13% by 2010

Description

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 80 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Virginia Parole	e Board (766)	

Adult Probation and Parole Services

### **Objective**

Make decisions on parole grant or revocation cases in an expeditious manner.

#### Measure #1

We will minimize the average number of days between the date of hearing and decision.

Key Measure		Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
X		Outcome		Maintain

### **Measure Methodology**

Parole Board electronic system

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
25	6/30/2006	25 days

### Measure Target

Value	Date	Description
25	6/30/2008	25 days

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	19.0		
2007	19.7		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 81 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Virginia Pa	role Board (766)	

Adult Probation and Parole Services

#### **Objective**

Provide crime victims with maximum opportunity to provide input into decisions on cases before the Board.

#### Measure #2

### Meetings (appointments) with victims

Key Measure Measure Type Preferred Trend
Outcome Maintain

#### **Measure Methodology**

Board logs and records

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value Date Description

100 6/30/2006 Provide Board appointments to 100 percent of victims requesting appointment

### **Measure Target**

Value Date Description

100 6/30/2008 100 percent of victims requesting appointment

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2007	100		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 82 of 201

Secretary 06	Publi	ic Safety	,			rwh
Virginia Pa	role Boa	ard (7	66)			
Service Area Adult Probation Objective Provide crime Measure #3				nity to prov	vide input into decisions on cases before the Board	d.
Seek input fro	m victims	of inma	tes' pending p	parole		
Key Measure	Measure Type Preferre Outcome Mainta					
Measure Metho Board logs and						
Measure Baseli		Date		Description		
100	C		6/30/2006	100 perd	ent of discretionary grant cases	
<b>Measure Targe</b> Value	t	Date		Description		
100	O		6/30/2008	100 pero	ent of discretionary grant cases	

Year	Annual Measure		
2007	100		
2008			

## **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 83 of 201

Adult Probation and Parole Services

#### **Objective**

To ensure that resources are used efficiently and programs are managed effectively, and in a manner consistent with applicable state and federal requirements.

#### Measure #4

Percent of Governor's Management scorecard categories marked as meets expectations for the agency

Key Measure Type Preferred Trend
Outcome Maintain

#### **Measure Methodology**

Governor's scorecard--percentage of categories marked as "meets expectations."

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2006	percentage

#### **Measure Target**

mododio raigot		
Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2008	percentage

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	100	100	100	100
2007	100	100	100	100
2008				

#### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 84 of 201

Secretary 06	Publi	ic Safety				jgc
Departmen	t of Ju	enile J	ustice (7	77)		
<u>Objective</u>				•	nd Treatment Services ment programs will operate at full capacity.	
	CSIADIISIII	eu comm	iuility resider	iliai piacei	ment programs will operate at full capacity.	
Measure #1						
Percentage of	commun	ity reside	ential placeme	ents (esta	blished on-going programs) that operate at full c	apacity.
Key Measure	Key Measure Type Output		Preferre Up	d Trend		
Measure Metho	dology					
Information ob program mana		om progr	am files and	reports on	program utilization for each program, provided	to the
<b>Measure Basel</b> i Value	ne	Date		Description	ı	
80	)		12/1/2004	80.0% (	pased on FY2004 data)	
Measure Targe	t					

Description

100.0% by the end of FY2007 and each year beyond

### Measure Data

100

Value

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	83.75		
2007	77.30		
2008			

12/1/2008

Date

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 85 of 201

Secretary 06	Publi	ic Safety						jgo	С
Departmer	nt of Juv	enile Just	tice (7	77)					
Service Area Community Re Objective Juveniles refer				•			erred services.		
Measure #2		•							
Percentage of	commun	ity non-resid	ential refe	errals for s	services tha	it receive th	ne referred se	rvices.	
Key Measure	Measure 1 Output	Гуре	Preferre Up	ed Trend					
Measure Metho	dology								
	ager. Prog			•				m, provided to the rough §16.1-294	
<b>Measure Basel</b> /alue	ine	Date		Description	1				
9	0	12/	1/2004	90.0% (k	pased on F	Y2004 data	a)		

Description

95.0% by the end of FY2007; 97.0% by the end of FY2008

# Measure Data

Value

**Measure Target** 

97

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007	95		
2008			

12/1/2008

Date

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 86 of 201

Juvenile Probation and Aftercare Services

#### **Objective**

35102.01 – To decrease the number of repeat juvenile offenders in Virginia, specifically those convicted of a new misdemeanor or felony within one-year of being released from a juvenile correctional facility.

#### Measure #3

Percentage of juveniles convicted of a new misdemeanor or felony within a year of being released from a juvenile correctional facility.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
X	Outcome	Down

#### **Measure Methodology**

Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), the Virginia State Police, Department of Corrections, and the Compensation Board databases are checked annually (after at least a 12-month waiting period) for new misdemeanor and/or felony intakes/arrests and convictions for juveniles on parole. This measure is updated annually in the fall and previous rates are revised to reflect current data.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
40.3	12/1/2004	Juveniles released from juvenile correctional centers during FY2003 (with a 12-month follow-up period), and for each year beyond.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
35.4	12/1/2008	One percent below the FY2005 rate (for juveniles released from the juvenile correctional centers during FY2007, with a 12-month follow-up period), and 2% for FY2007 and FY2008.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2002	41.0		
2003	43.6		
2004	40.5		
2005	41.7		
2006	36.7		
2007	31.2		
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

This measure will updated annually in the fall (11/1) to allow for capture of the most recent court information.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 87 of 201

Juvenile Probation and Aftercare Services

#### **Objective**

To decrease the number of repeat juvenile offenders in Virginia, specifically those convicted of a new misdemeanor or felony within one-year of being placed on probation with DJJ.

#### Measure #4

Percentage of juveniles convicted of a new misdemeanor or felony within a year of being placed on probation.

Key Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
X		Outcome	Down

#### **Measure Methodology**

DJJ, the Virginia State Police, Department of Corrections, and the Compensation Board databases are checked annually (after at least a 12-month waiting period) for new misdemeanor and/or felony intakes/arrests and convictions for juveniles placed on probation within a fiscal year. This measure is updated annually in the fall and previous rates are revised to reflect current data.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
25.7	12/1/2004	Juveniles placed on probation during FY2003 (with a 12-month follow-up period).
Measure Target		rollow up portou).
Value	Date	Description
20.6	12/1/2008	One percent below the FY2005 rate (for juveniles placed on probation during FY2005, with a 12-month follow-up period), and for 2% for FY2007 and FY2008.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2003	26.5		
2004	25.8		
2005	25.9		
2006	26.6		
2007	25.4		
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

This measure will be updated annually in the fall (11/1) to allow for capture of the most recent court information.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 88 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department o	f Juvenile Justice (777)	

Financial Assistance for Juvenile Confinement in Local Facilities

### **Objective**

To maintain compliance with mandatory standards for local juvenile detention facilities.

#### Measure #5

Compliance by all detention facilities with all applicable mandatory Interdepartmental and Board of Juvenile Justice Standards.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Up

Date

#### **Measure Methodology**

Certification teams will conduct audits and assigned Certification Analyst, with assistance from the Detention Specialist, will conduct bi-annual monitoring visits.

Description

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value

85	12/1/2004	85% compliance with all applicable standards; 100% with mandatory standards. Based on FY2004 information.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
100	12/1/2008	100% compliance with all standards by all local and regional detention facilities by the end of FY2008.

#### Measure Data

Year	Annual Measure		
2005	85		
2006	100		
2007	64		
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 89 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Juvenile Justice (777)	

Financial Assistance for Probation and Parole - Local Grants

#### **Objective**

To maintain compliance with standards established by the State Board of Juvenile Justice.

#### Measure #6

Compliance by all locally operated Court Service Units (CSUs) with Board of Juvenile Justice Standards.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Up

#### **Measure Methodology**

Certification teams will conduct audits every three years. Regional Operations Managers conduct bi-annual monitoring visits to insure substantial compliance with standards.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
65	12/1/2004	Compliance with all applicable standards during biannual visits; 80% with all applicable standards during annual visits. Based on FY2004 information.
Measure Target		

Value		Date	Description
	100	12/1/2008	Compliance with all standards during annual visits by all three
			locally operated CSUs by the end of FY2006.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annuai Measure		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Page 90 of 201 Friday, January 04, 2008

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Juvenile Justice (777)	

Financial Assistance for Community based Alternative Treatment Services

#### **Objective**

To increase the number of juveniles released from Virginia Juvenile Community Crime Control Act (VJCCCA) funded programs with a satisfactory completion rating (defined individually by each program).

#### Measure #7

Satisfactory completion rate for VJCCCA programs.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Up

#### **Measure Methodology**

Release code ratings (including the satisfactory completion code) for each VJCCCA program, as reported on DJJ's Juvenile Tracking System (JTS) through the Community Program Reporting (CPR) module.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
72	12/1/2002	Satisfactory completion rate (FY2002 Program Placements who were released from VJCCCA programs).
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
90	12/1/2008	80.0% satisfactory completion rate by the end of FY2006, 85.0% satisfactory completion rate by the end of FY2007, and 90.0% completion rate by the end of FY2008.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2003	73.0		
2004	74.5		
2005	78.1		
2006	78.3		
2007	80.2		
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

This measure to updated annually in the fall (11/1) to allow for capture of the most recent information.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 91 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Juvenile Justice (777)	

Juvenile Corrections Center Management

#### **Objective**

There will be no escapes from secure, state-operated juvenile correctional facilities.

#### Measure #8

Number of juvenile escapes from a secure, state-operated juvenile correctional facility.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Output		Maintain

#### **Measure Methodology**

Reports of escapes from serious incident reports submitted to the Central Office of Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ). An escape occurs when a juvenile leaves the boundary of the secure facility. DJJ counts escape incidents, not individual juveniles within an escape incident.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
0	12/1/2003	no escapes (based on FY2003 data)
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
0	12/1/2008	no escapes in FY2006, FY2007, and FY2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2004	0	0	0	0
2005	0	0	0	0
2006	0	0	0	0
2007	0	0	0	0
2008	0			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 92 of 201

## Department of Juvenile Justice (777)

#### **Service Area**

**Food Services** 

#### **Objective**

To increase the percentage of juveniles who are within normal Body Mass Index (BMI) limits for the appropriate gender and age range.

#### Measure #9

Percentage of juveniles who have a BMI within normal limits (by gender and age).

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Output	Up	

#### **Measure Methodology**

Medical staff at each Juvenile Correctional Center and Reception and Diagnostic Center measure the BMI using standard techniques.

### Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description
52	12/1/2005	52% of juveniles within normal gender and age limits on the BMI.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
57	12/1/2008	57% of juveniles released will be within normal gender and age limits on the BMI by the end of FY2007 and thereafter.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007	57.0	56.0	52.0	57.0
2008	58.8			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 93 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department o	f Juvenile Justice (777)	

Medical and Clinical Services

### **Objective**

To provide all juveniles at Reception and Diagnostic Center with intake physical and dental examinations within 5 days of admission and annual physical and dental examinations within 365 days of admission.

#### Measure #10

The percentage of juveniles in correctional centers receiving intake physical and dental examinations within 5 days of admission and annual physical and dental examinations within 365 days of admission.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Up

### **Measure Methodology**

Checklist of services and examinations provided, located in juveniles' Medical and Dental Records.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
80	12/1/2005	80.0% in FY2005
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
95	12/1/2008	95.0% by the end of FY2007 and each year beyond.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007	96	96	96	98
2008	97			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 94 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	of Juvenile Justice (777)	

**Physical Plant Services** 

#### **Objective**

Facilities will be in compliance with annual safety and sanitation inspections conducted by federal and state agencies.

#### Measure #11

Compliance with residential facility standards for facilities that house children.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Outcome		Up	

#### **Measure Methodology**

Reports on compliance received from the Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) Certification Unit, federal safety agencies, and the Virginia Department of Health (DOH).

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
90	12/1/2005	90% compliance in FY2005.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
100	12/1/2008	100% compliance or the development of an action plan to address the deficient standards by the end of FY2007 and thereafter.

#### **Measure Data**

rear	Annuai Measure		
2006	90		
2007	87		
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Facilities include halfway houses and JCCs.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 95 of 201

Secretary 06 Public Safety

### Department of Juvenile Justice (777)

#### **Service Area**

Offender Classification and Time Computation Services

#### **Objective**

To maintain current classification assessments of juveniles who are in the JCCs within appropriate time frames.

jgc

#### Measure #12

Percentage of juveniles placed in appropriate facility based on most recent reclassification level.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Up

#### **Measure Methodology**

Data on classification and reclassification are obtained from the DJJ Juvenile Tracking System (JTS). The percentage is based on the number of juveniles placed in the appropriate facility based on DJJ instituitional Division staffing criteria.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
80	12/1/2005	80.0% placed appropriately (based on data from FY2005).
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
95	12/1/2008	85.0% placed appropriately by the end of FY2006, 90.0% placed appropriately by the end of FY2007, 95.0% placed appropriately

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007	98.0	97.0	97.0	98.0
2008	96.6			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 96 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safet	y		jgc
Departmen	t of Juvenile	Justice (7	77)	
<u>Service Area</u> Juvenile Super	vision and Mana	agement Servi	ces	
Objective To reduce the	number of secu	rity staff vacan	cies in the juvenile correctional centers.	
Measure #13				
Percentage of	security staff tu	novers in the	JCCs.	
Key Measure	Measure Type Input	Preferre	ed Trend	
Measure Metho	dology			
Data from the	Department of I		rce Management (turnover report by facility). Calculated as number of JCC employees.	the total
<b>Measure Baseli</b> /alue	<b>ne</b> Date		Description	
32.7	7	12/1/2005	32.7% turnover rate in FY2005.	
<b>Measure Target</b> /alue	t Date		Description	
20	)	12/1/2008	20.0% turnover rate by the end of FY2007 and thereafter	

Yea	ar	Annual Measure		
200	6	33.07		
200	7	25.47		
200	8			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 97 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Juvenile Justice (777)	

Juvenile Rehabilitation and Treatment Services

#### **Objective**

To provide individual counseling sessions to juveniles in the Juvenile Correctional Centers as part of their mandatory and recommended treatment needs.

#### Measure #14

Serious Incident Reports (SIRs) submitted for suicide attempts or self injurious behavior

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Down

#### **Measure Methodology**

Data are obtained from the SIRs submitted to Central Office by the JCCs. This measure is the percentage of the SIRs submitted for suicide attempts or self injurious behavior – this measure is not sensitive to changes in the average daily population but is still sensitive to the number of occurrences for these types of incidents in the JCCs.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description		
19	12/1/2004	19% of SIRs submitted for suicide attempts or self injurious		
		behavior (based on a three-year average calculated since FY2004).		
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description		
value	Date	Description		
17	12/1/2008	2% decrease by the end of FY2007		

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	16.0	18.0	18.2	15.1
2007	9.3	5.1	6.2	6.8
2008	5.7			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 98 of 201

Minimum Security Services

#### **Objective**

To meet the accreditation standards of the American Camping Association so that Camp New Hope offers a safe and effective program of activities for participants.

#### Measure #15

Percent of minimum standards met by Camp New Hope, as established by the American Camping Association, to become/remain an accredited ACA site.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Output		Up	

#### **Measure Methodology**

Accreditation results and reports for each ACA standard will be obtained from the ACA site visit and accreditation report.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description		
0	12/1/2004	none (program certification is currently in the planning stage but		
		is expected to occur in FY2006).		
Measure Target				
Value	Date	Description		
100	12/1/2008	100% of the applicable standards met to receive/maintain		
		accreditation by the end of FY2007.		

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007	0	30	30	30
2008	30			

#### **Explanatory Note**

The program certification is currently in the application process. The earliest possible ACA site visit for accreditation is summer 2008.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 99 of 201

Administrative and Support Services

#### **Objective**

To ensure that resources are used efficiently and programs are managed effectively, and in a manner consistent with applicable state and federal requirements.

#### Measure #16

Percent of Governor's Management scorecard categories marked as meets expectations for the agency.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Outcome		Up	

#### **Measure Methodology**

There are five major areas used for the Governor's Management Scorecard. Agency responses for the 18 items will be used to rate performance management in the five areas.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description		
80	12/1/2005	80% in FY2005		
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description		
100	12/1/2008	100% in FY2006 and each year beyond.		

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007	80	65	74	75
2008	67			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 100 of 201

jgc

### Department of Forensic Science (778)

#### Service Area

**Biological Analysis Services** 

#### **Objective**

Provide quality DNA and mitochondrial analyses of criminal evidence in a timely and accurate manner.

#### Measure #1

We will increase the percentage of DNA and mitochondrial samples that are analyzed and the results reported to the requesting authority (Certificate of Analysis issued).

Key Measure		Measure Type		Preferred Trend
X		Outcome		Up

#### **Measure Methodology**

A 55% completion rate of cases submitted to be analyzed each year will result in approximately a 60 day turnaround time for this service area. The percentage of cases completed annually is calculated by dividing the total number of cases available (beginning backlog plus cases received) for analysis into the number of cases completed.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
43	7/1/20	05 FY2005
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
55	7/1/20	08 FY2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				40
2007	34	34	46	54
2008	53			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Quarterly reporting is a better indicator of progress towards meeting agency's 2008 target, therefore baseline, measure data and target have been changed from annual reporting to reflect quarterly results.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 101 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	Forensic Science (778)	

**Biological Analysis Services** 

#### **Objective**

Provide quality DNA and mitochondrial analyses of criminal evidence in a timely and accurate manner.

#### Measure #2

We will reduce the average turn-around time for DNA and mitochondrial samples that are analyzed and the results reported to the requesting authority (Certificate of Analysis issued).

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Down

#### **Measure Methodology**

The average turn-around time is computed by dividing the total number of days required to complete cases by the total number of cases completed during the specified period.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
166	7/1/2005	FY2005
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
60	7/1/2008	FY2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				164
2007	167	165	184	153
2008	116			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Quarterly reporting is a better indicator of progress towards meeting agency's 2008 target, therefore baseline, measure data and target have been changed from annual reporting to reflect quarterly results.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 102 of 201

jgc Department of Forensic Science (778)

#### Service Area

**Chemical Analysis Services** 

#### **Objective**

Provide quality analyses of controlled substance evidence in a timely and accurate manner.

#### Measure #3

We will increase the percentage of drug samples that are analyzed and the results reported to the requesting authority (Certificate of Analysis issued).

Key Measure		Measure Type		Preferred Trend
X		Outcome		Up

#### **Measure Methodology**

A 80% completion rate of cases submitted to be analyzed each year will result in approximately a 30 day turnaround time for this service area. The percentage of cases completed annually is calculated by dividing the total number of cases available for analysis into the number of cases completed.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
39	7/1/2005	FY2005
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
80	7/1/2008	FY2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				56
2007	63	82	85	85
2008	90			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Quarterly reporting is a better indicator of progress towards meeting agency's 2008 target, therefore baseline, measure data and target have been changed from annual reporting to reflect quarterly results.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 103 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Forensic Science (778)	

Chemical Analysis Services

#### **Objective**

Provide quality analyses of controlled substance evidence in a timely and accurate manner.

#### Measure #4

We will reduce the average turn-around time for drug samples that are analyzed and the results reported to the requesting authority (Certificate of Analysis issued).

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Down

#### **Measure Methodology**

The average turn-around time is computed by dividing the total number of days required to complete cases by the total number of cases completed during the specified period.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
99	7/1/2005	FY2005
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
30	7/1/2008	FY2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				93
2007	80	56	32	22
2008	15			

### **Explanatory Note**

Quarterly reporting is a better indicator of progress towards meeting agency's 2008 target, therefore baseline, measure data and target have been changed from annual reporting to reflect quarterly results.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 104 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Forensic Science (778)	

Physical Evidence Services

#### **Objective**

Provide quality latent print/imaging analyses of criminal evidence in a timely and accurate manner.

#### Measure #5

We will increase the percentage of latent print/imaging samples that are analyzed and the results reported to the requesting authority (Certificate of Analysis issued).

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Up

#### **Measure Methodology**

A 75% completion rate of cases submitted to be analyzed each year will result in approximately a 30 day turnaround time for this service area. The percentage of cases completed annually is calculated by dividing the total number of cases available (beginning backlog plus cases received) for analysis into the number of cases completed.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
40	7/1/2005	FY2005
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
value	Date	Description
75	7/1/2008	FY2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				55
2007	66	69	75	74
2008	72			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Quarterly reporting is a better indicator of progress towards meeting agency's 2008 target, therefore baseline, measure data and target have been changed from annual reporting to reflect quarterly results.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 105 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Forensic Science (778)	

Physical Evidence Services

#### **Objective**

Provide quality latent print/imaging analyses of criminal evidence in a timely and accurate manner.

#### Measure #6

We will reduce the average turn-around time for latent print/imaging samples that are analyzed and the results reported to the requesting authority (Certificate of Analysis issued).

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Down

#### **Measure Methodology**

The average turn-around time is computed by dividing the total number of days required to complete cases by the total number of cases completed during the specified period.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
117	7/1/2005	FY2005
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
30	7/1/2008	FY2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				137
2007	91	63	79	42
2008	39			

### **Explanatory Note**

Quarterly reporting is a better indicator of progress towards meeting agency's 2008 target, therefore baseline, measure data and target have been changed from annual reporting to reflect quarterly results.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 106 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department o	f Forensic Science (778)	

Physical Evidence Services

#### Objective

Provide quality firearm and toolmark examinations of criminal evidence in a timely and accurate manner.

#### Measure #7

We will increase the percentage of firearms and toolmarks samples that are analyzed and the results reported to the requesting authority (Certificate of Analysis issued).

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Up

### **Measure Methodology**

A 50% completion rate of cases submitted to be analyzed each year will result in approximately a 150 day turnaround time for this service area. The percentage of cases completed annually is calculated by dividing the total number of cases available (beginning backlog plus cases received) for analysis into the number of cases completed.

#### Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description
44	7/1/2005	FY2005
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
50	7/1/2008	FY2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				41
2007	42	52	58	60
2008	49			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Quarterly reporting is a better indicator of progress towards meeting agency's 2008 target, therefore baseline, measure data and target have been changed from annual reporting to reflect quarterly results.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 107 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Forensic Science (778)	

Physical Evidence Services

#### **Objective**

Provide quality firearm and toolmark examinations of criminal evidence in a timely and accurate manner.

#### Measure #8

We will reduce the average turn-around time for completed firearms and toolmarks samples that are analyzed and the results reported to the requesting authority (Certificate of Analysis issued).

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Down

#### **Measure Methodology**

The average turn-around time is computed by dividing the total number of days required to complete cases by the total number of cases completed during the specified period.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
107	6/30/2005	FY2005
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
150	6/30/2008	FY2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				124
2007	145	182	108	102
2008	79			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Quarterly reporting is a better indicator of progress towards meeting agency's 2008 target, therefore baseline, measure data and target have been changed from annual reporting to reflect quarterly results.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 108 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department o	f Forensic Science (778)	

Training and Standards Services

#### **Objective**

Provide police officers training through the Forensic Science Academy in the areas of crime scene investigation and the recognition, collection, preservation and submission of evidence.

#### Measure #9

We will increase the percentage of forensic science academy applicants trained annually.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Up

#### **Measure Methodology**

The percentage is calculated by dividing the number of applicants trained by the total number of applicants awaiting training by the academy.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
24	7/1/2005	FY2005
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
36	7/1/2008	FY2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				19
2007	19	24	24	24
2008	36			

### **Explanatory Note**

Historical data are tracked annually and are reported July 1 of each fiscal year.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 109 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jgc
Department of	f Forensic Science (778)	

Training and Standards Services

# **Objective**

Provide the maintenance, repair and certification of breath test equipment.

# Measure #10

Will maintain and certify breath test instruments for use by law enforcement agencies.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Outcome		Up

# **Measure Methodology**

The number of instruments certified and in service each fiscal year.

# **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
201	7/1/2005	FY2005
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
220	7/1/2008	FY2008

# **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				201
2007	170	170	170	170
2008	170			

# **Explanatory Note**

Historical data are tracked annually and are reported July 1 of each fiscal year.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 110 of 201

# Department of Forensic Science (778)

# **Service Area**

Administrative Services

#### **Objective**

Ensure that resources are used efficiently and programs are managed effectively, and in a manner consistent with applicable state and federal requirements.

#### Measure #11

14/0 14/11	achieve a	00000	o.f
vve wiii	acmeve a	Score	OI

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

The Virginia Performs website (http://vaperforms.virginia.gov/agencylevel/src/displaymeasure.cfm) contains Management Scorecard results for state agencies.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	7/1/2006	FY 2006
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
100	7/1/2008	FY2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006				100
2007	83	83	83	100
2008	100			

# **Explanatory Note**

During the fourth quarter of FY 2007, the agency achieved a "Meets Expectations" in six (100%) of the six Governor's Management Scorecard Categories. For the prior three quarters (1st, 2nd, and 3rd), agency achieved a "Meets Expectations" in five (83.3%) of the six Governor's Management Scorecard Categories due to the Technology category being scored as "Progress Toward Expectations" pending finalization of the BIA, RA and COOP. The BIA, RA and COOP were all completed during the fourth quarter.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 111 of 201

Secretary 06 Public Safety

# Department of Corrections (799)

#### **Service Area**

**Probation and Parole Services** 

# **Objective**

Provide appropriate public safety programs to promote successful re-entry and offender compliance with supervision plans.

rwh

#### Measure #1

We will reduce the percentage of supervised probation and parole cases revoked .

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
X	Outcome	Up

# **Measure Methodology**

Using DOC's CORIS database, identify all probation and parole cases that were closed successfully and unsuccessfully during the quarter. Compute a % for successful case closures.

# **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
64	6/30/2007	% of successful case closures for FY2007
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
65	6/30/2008	Increase percent of successful cases by 1% in FY08.

# **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007	64.0	62.0	65.3	63.0
2008	64.0			

# **Explanatory Note**

DOC's objective is to improve offender transition success. This measure is intended to reflect the impact of pre and post release transition programs and the implementation of evidence based practices.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 112 of 201

Department of Corrections (799)

rwh

# Service Area

**Day Reporting Centers** 

# **Objective**

Provide appropriate public safety programs to promote successful re-entry and offender compliance with supervision plans.

# Measure #2

Percent of Day Reporting bed capacity that is filled with offenders.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Utilizing internal DOC database, determine Day Reporting Center's average daily population and divide it by the average daily capacity.

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
109	6/30/2007	% of capacity utilized historically
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2008	% of capacity utilized in FY2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007	109	126	125	124
2008	122			

# **Explanatory Note**

Day Reporting is a non-residential center that provides or coordinates numerous services to meet offender needs.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 113 of 201

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 114 of 201

Administrative Services

# **Objective**

Provide appropriate public safety programs to promote successful re-entry and offender compliance with supervision plans.

# Measure #4

Percentage of district offices, day reporting and other Community programs that achieve at least 90% compliance with Board of Corrections standards.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

The Accreditation/Certification Unit identifies the number of district offices, day reporting centers and other eligible Community facilities that comply with Board of Corrections Standards.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2006	23 of 23 units/programs achieved compliance.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2007	100% compliance

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Accreditation is an important tool in measuring program performance.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 115 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Department of	f Corrections (799)	

Financial Assistance for Construction of Local and Regional Jails

# **Objective**

Process payment and release to the Department of Accounts within 5 working-days upon the receipt of an "authorization for payment" from the Department's Architecture and Engineering unit.

#### Measure #5

Percentage of time that DOC release payment within 5 business days.

Key Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Monitor reimbursement payments to identify the number of days from the time Accounts Payable date stamps authorizing payment until the time supervisor releases payment to the Dept. of Accounts to ensure it is within 5 business days.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2006	% payments released in 5 business days.
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
100	7/30/2007	% payments released in 5 business days.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

The General Assembly reimburses jails for a portion of the construction of new capacity. DOC provides architectural oversight for these projects and is a pass-through for reimbursement. There were no payment authorizations in 2006 or 2007, thus DOC is in compliance.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 116 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Department of	f Corrections (799)	

**Community Facility Management** 

# **Objective**

Provide appropriate public safety programs to promote successful re-entry and offender compliance with supervision plans.

# Measure #6

Hours of Community Service Performed.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Up

# **Measure Methodology**

Accumulate the total number of hours for Community Service performed at the Diversion and Detention Centers.

# Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description
136,735	6/30/2007	FY2007 total number of hours of community service.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
137,418	6/30/2008	1% increase in community service hours

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	122,457		
2007	136,735		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Detention and Diversion Centers provide work crews for local community projects. Community projects are solicited by DOC from local government officials.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 117 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Department of	f Corrections (799)	

Supervision and Management of Probates

# **Objective**

Provide appropriate public safety programs to promote successful re-entry and offender compliance with supervision plans.

# Measure #7

Percent of offenders successfully completing program supervision requirements.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Output		Up

# **Measure Methodology**

Total number of detention and diversion offenders successfully completing program supervision requirements divided by total offenders participating in the program.

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
88	6/30/2007	% of det & div completing program successfully
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
95	6/30/2008	% of cases completing program successfully

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	95		
2007	88		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Baseline data represents FY07 % of graduations divided by net admissions; (transfers are subtracted from admissions = net admits).

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 118 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Department of	f Corrections (799)	

Rehabilitation and Treatment Services

# **Objective**

Provide appropriate public safety programs to promote successful re-entry and offender compliance with supervision plans.

#### Measure #8

Percent of recidivism of offenders completing Detention and Diversion Center programs.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Down

# **Measure Methodology**

Evaluate all Detention and Diversion Center participants from a graduating class (calendar year graduates) in order to determine their rate of recidivism. The rate of recidivism is determined by the annual participate enrollment. Each participant year enrollment is followed for three years to determine the rate of recidivism back to incarceration or community supervision.

# Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description
28.4	12/31/2002	CY 2002 Graduates 3 yr recidivism rate was 28.4%%
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
28.0	12/31/2003	CY2003 3 year recidivism data should be available in 12/2007

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
1998	32.47		
1999	28.47		
2000	29.62		
2001	28.48		
2002	28.43		
2003			
2004			
2005			
2006			
2007			
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

This is a 3 year followup recidivism statistic. The most current recidivism stats are for CY 2002 (28.4%); CY1998 releases (Det=33% & Div=31.9%) = an average of about 32.5%. Statistics for CY99,00,01,02 are now listed below..

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 119 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Department of	f Corrections (799)	

Medical and Clinical Services

#### Objective

Provide appropriate medical care for incarcerated offenders.

#### Measure #9

Average number of medical and dental visits per offender per year.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Tre	
	Input		Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

This measure has changed to "lower annual cost of offender receiving health, vision, hering & dental care" in Detention and Diversion Centers effective 1st quarter of FY2008. The measure methodology is to compute the average medical cost for health care for offenders in comparison to the premium costs for state employees enrolled in a single coverage plan.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
5,508	12/31/2007	State Employee Health Coverage (single) CY2007
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
4,059	12/31/2008	Average Offender Health Cost per-diem for CY2008

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2007	4,059		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Medical costs in every industry are rising each year thus the challenge to DOC at this time is to control the rise in costs. A comparison of inmate medical costs to the costs incurred by the public will provide a real life example of our performance in maintaining an effective and efficient health program. \$5508 represents the annual cost for health care for state employee (single) coverage. DOC's target (\$4059) is to keep the inmate cost for similar coverage below the state employee rate.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 120 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Department o	f Corrections (799)	

**Food Services** 

# **Objective**

Be recognized as innovative leaders in the comprehensive, complex correctional food service profession.

# Measure #10

Percentage of food service staff that complete and maintain their ServSafe National Restaurant Association Education Foundation certification.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Input		Maintain	

# **Measure Methodology**

For all Detention and Diversion food service staff, identify all certifications and divide by number of food service staff employed 6 months or more.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2007	100%.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value		
value	Date	Description

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Providing a healthy diet in a sanitary food preparation environment is an important goal. This is a measure of performance for Detention and Diversion Center food service programs.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 121 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Department of	f Corrections (799)	

**Physical Plant Services** 

# **Objective**

Provide and maintain safe and secure work sites that protect staff, offenders and the public.

#### Measure #11

Compliance level on preventive maintenance requirements for emergency equipment/mechanical systems (level I priority).

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

The data below are provided by the Architectural and Engineering Department showing the percentage of compliance with preventive maintenance requirements as of June 30, 2007.

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2007	100% compliance with level I requirements.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2008	100% compliance with level I requirements.

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure			
2007	100			
2008				

# **Explanatory Note**

TMS is a database for Detention & Diversion Centers that tracks preventive maintenance requirements and the date that the repuirement is completed.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 122 of 201

rwh

# Department of Corrections (799)

# **Service Area**

Supervision and Management of Inmates

# **Objective**

Manage the offender population to ensure that offenders are provided secure confinement and appropriate supervision in accordance with the security level of the facility and the risk posed to the community.

#### Measure #12

We will have no escapes from confinement .

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
X	Outcome	Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

From the "Monthly Escape Report" provide number of escapes from secure perimeter of DOC facilities. Does not include escapes from work release, road gangs, farm work etc.

# **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
0	6/30/2007	FY2007 total of 0
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
0	6/30/2008	0 escapes in FY2008

# **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
1996				1
1997				0
1998				0
1999				0
2000				2
2001				0
2002				0
2003				0
2004				0
2005				1
2006				0
2007	0	0	0	0
2008	0			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 123 of 201

Supervision and Management of Inmates

# **Objective**

Provide and maintain safe and secure work sites that protect staff, offenders, and the public.

# Measure #13

Inmate on inmate serious assaults on a Department-wide level.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Down

# **Measure Methodology**

Provide number of inmate on inmate serious assaults on a Department-wide basis for each year. Data source is the Incident Report.

# **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
19	12/31/2005	CY2005 total of 19.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
18	6/30/2008	18 or fewer serious assaults in FY2008.

# **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007	4	2	8	9
2008	6			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 124 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Department of	f Corrections (799)	

Rehabilitation and Treatment Services

### **Objective**

Maintain the current level of offender programming capacity to provide opportunity for offenders to change criminal behaviors.

# Measure #14

The average number of hours program-eligible offenders participate in program activities each week.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Utilizing the Inmate Pay System and maintaining program surveys of institutions, management staff will determine programs operating capacity and weekly meeting hours. Due to the unavailability of resources as defined in the Code of Virginia 53.1-32.1, the baseline will be maintained at 26 hours per week. The Department will strive to maintain 26 hours per week until additional resources allow the Department to achieve the 40-hour average per offender per week mandate. The program hours survey is conducted each October, thus the 2007 survey results will be available in January of 2008.

#### Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description
28	6/30/2007	average weekly program hours
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
28	6/30/2008	28 hours per week for CY2007 is the DOC target.

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	28		
2007			
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

The survey of program hours per inmate is a manual process that takes a long time to complete, thus there is a lag in providing this statistic. The survey completed in the spring of CY2007 provides data on CY2006. The CY2007 data should be available in the spring of CY2008.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 125 of 201

Rehabilitation and Treatment Services

### **Objective**

Provide Therapeutic Community programming which provide opportunities for offenders to change criminal behaviors

#### Measure #15

We will operate a Therapeutic Community Treatment program that will result in a recidivism rate of 15% or below .

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
X	Output	Down

# **Measure Methodology**

Calendar year 2005 Therapeutic Community (TC) graduates will be evaluated at the end of December 31, 2006. This means that the therapeutic Community graduates will have been released in an observation range of 12-24 months prior to their recommitment rate to prison being examined. First set of data will be provided by March 1, 2007 for the Therapeutic Community graduates which will provide a minimum baseline evaluation of one year. 2006 graduate recidivism will be available in 3/2008.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
13.7	3/1/200	Recidivism of TC program completers from 7-2001 to 6-2002.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
13	3/1/200	Our new target is to maintain or lower the recidivism of offenders released in 2006 to 13% or lower.

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2003	7.3		
2004	6.3		
2005	10.9		
2006			
2007			
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

13.7% represents the recidivism rate of graduates of Virginia DOC TC & TTC programs in 3/2004. Graduates were tracked for 12 to 24 months. The non-treatment/non-graduate group recidivism rate was 23%. Regarding the 2005 recidivism rate of 10.9% (see below): During CY2005, 211 participants were sent from institutional TCs to community Transitional TCs. 23 of the 211 have been recommitted as of 12/31/2006. For clarity, the rates below correspond to the year in which the cohort under study graduated from the program.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 126 of 201

Prison Management

# **Objective**

Operate model correctional facilities that provide secure confinement, programs, and services appropriate to the custody needs of assigned offenders and to the security level of the facility or unit.

#### Measure #16

The percentage of eligible facilities achieving at least 90 percent compliance with non-mandatory ACA and Board of Corrections standards.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

ACA Accreditation and Board of Corrections Standards. Note: Some facilities are not eligible for ACA Accreditation as cost constraints on physical plant infrastructure prohibit ACA Accreditation.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2007	100% of DOC facilities were accredited
Measure Target	Date	Description
100	6/30/2008	100% of DOC facilities will be accredited

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 127 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Department o	f Corrections (799)	

**Food Services** 

# **Objective**

Be recognized as innovative leaders in the comprehensive, complex correctional food service profession.

# Measure #17

Percentage of food service staff completing and maintaining their ServSafe National Restaurant Association Education Foundation certification.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Input	Maintain	

# **Measure Methodology**

Identify all certifications and divide by number of food service staff employed 6 months or more.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2007	100% of food service staff were certified as 6/30/2007.
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2008	100% of food service staff will be certified on 6/30/2008.

# **Measure Data**

	Year	Annual Measure		
	2006	100		
	2007	100		
ĺ	2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Providing a healthy diet in a sanitary food preparation environment is an important goal. This is a measure of performance for prison facility food service programs.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 128 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Department of	f Corrections (799)	

Medical and Clinical Services

#### Objective

Provide appropriate medical care for incarcerated offenders.

#### Measure #18

Average number of medical and dental visits per offender per year.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Input		Maintain	

# **Measure Methodology**

This measure has changed to "lower annual cost of offender receiving health, vision, hering & dental care" in Detention and Diversion Centers effective 1st quarter of FY2008. The measure methodology is to compute the average medical cost for health care for offenders in comparison to the premium costs for state employees enrolled in a single coverage plan.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
5,508	12/31/2007	Cost of State Employee health coverage (single) in CY2007
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
4,059	12/31/2008	Average offender per-diem medical costs CY2008

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2007	4,059		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

Medical costs in every industry are rising each year thus the challenge to DOC at this time is to control the rise in costs. A comparison of inmate medical costs to the costs incurred by the public will provide a real life example of our performance in maintaining an effective and efficient health program. \$5508 represents the annual cost for health care for state employee (single) coverage. DOC's target (\$4059) is to keep the inmate cost for similar coverage below the state employee rate.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 129 of 201

Secretary 06	Publi	c Safety					rwh
Departmen	t of Co	rrection	s (799)				
Service Area Agribusiness Objective To provide a si	gnificant	proportion	n of the prisc	n's food nee	ds through agril	business activities.	
Measure #19							
Percentage of	prison fo	od needs	supplied by	the Departm	ent's Agribusine	ess operations.	
Key Measure	Measure T	ype	Preferre	d Trend			
Measure Metho	dology						
This measure operations are						. Total sales by all agribusi	iness
<b>Measure Basel</b> i /alue	ine	Date		Description			
15	5	6	3/30/2007	total agribus	siness sales in	fy2007	

# **Measure Data**

Value

**Measure Target** 

15.5

Year	Annual Measure		
2007	15		
2008			

6/30/2008

Date

# **Explanatory Note**

Because there is no accounting system specifically for all agribusiness operations, the old measure "total food production" data was based upon estimates. The new measure has data that is automated and the figures will reflect system performance.

target for total sales in fy2008

Description

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 130 of 201

Secretary 06 Public Safety

# Department of Corrections (799)

# **Service Area**

**Correctional Enterprises** 

# **Objective**

Maximize employment of inmates in the manufacturing of finished goods.

# Measure #20

The number of inmates working in enterprise activities.

Key Measure	y Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Input		Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Using internal reports provided by VCE, determine the average number of offenders receiving inmate pay on a quarterly basis.

rwh

### Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description
1,545	6/30/2007	average number of inmates working in FY2007
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
value	Date	Description
1,550	6/30/2008	Target number of inmates working in FY2008

# **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007	1,544	1,595	1,491	1,516
2008	1,509			

# **Explanatory Note**

In some instances reductions (below base) in the number of inmates working is a result of precautions taken for security reasons. Increases reflect expanded work opportunities.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 131 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Department o	f Corrections (799)	

**Physical Plant Services** 

# **Objective**

Provide and maintain safe and secure work sites that protect staff, offenders and the public.

# Measure #21

Compliance level on preventive maintenance requirements for emergency equipment/mechanical systems (level I priority).

Key Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Output	Maintain	

# **Measure Methodology**

Report provided by the Architectural and Engineering Department showing the percentage of compliance with preventive maintenance requirements by June 2007.

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2007	% of facilities complying with Level 1 PM requirements in FY2007
Measure Target	Data	Description
Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2008	target for FY2008

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2007	100		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

TMS is a database for DOC prison facilities that tracks preventive maintenance requirements and the date that the repuirement is completed.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 132 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Department o	f Corrections (799)	

Administrative and Support Services

# **Objective**

To ensure that resources are used efficiently and programs are managed effectively, and in a manner consistent with applicable state and federal requirements.

#### Measure #22

Percent of Governor's Management scorecard categories marked as meets expectations for the agency.

Key Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Output	Up

# **Measure Methodology**

Using the six criteria used in the Commonwealth's Management Scorecard for the Department of Corrections, take the number of cases where the agency scores "Meets Expectations" and divide by 6 for an overall percentage score.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
80	6/30/2005	The FY2005 percentage of 80%.
Measure Target	Data	Description
Value	Date	Description
80	6/30/2008	Target for FY2008 is 80% or above.

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	83		
2007	65		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

In 2007 the requirements for Meets Expectations became much more difficult resulting in DOC's performance decline.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 133 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Department o	f Corrections (799)	

Administrative and Support Services

# **Objective**

Develop and deliver comprehensive, job-related training for Department of Correction's employees while providing a full range of other support functions.

# Measure #23

Percentage of staff meeting state training standards at calendar year end.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Output	Maintain	

# **Measure Methodology**

Using DCJS Training Delinquency Report calculate total number of staff reported as non compliant and divided into total number of staff contacted, trained and made compliant.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
0	12/31/2006	No data available until January 2007
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
95	12/31/2007	95% compliance

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	99		
2007			
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

99% compliant in CY2006. 2007 data is not available as of 7-30-07.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 134 of 201

Secretary 06 Public Safety

# Department of Corrections (799)

# **Service Area**

Administrative and Support Services

# **Objective**

Maximize the use of available inmate beds/program assignments in the DOC.

#### Measure #24

Percentage of Department-wide institutional bed capacity that is filled with offenders.

Key Measure Measure Type Preferred Trend
Outcome Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Calculate utilization rate for operations facilities: divide inmate population by capacity using last day of month data. (this calculation will exclude new prison construction capacity until the maximum occupancy is obtained; Additionally, this analysis does not include hospital beds, jail beds or community residential program beds).

rwh

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
96.9	6/30/2006	FY06 percentage of 96.9%.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
96.9	6/30/2007	Meet or exceed 96.9%.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007	96.5	96.3	96.4	96.6
2008	97.9			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 135 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rwh
Governor's (	Office of Substance Abuse Prevention (853)	

Substance Abuse Research, Planning and Coordination

### Objective

Increase the use of proven prevention strategies.

#### Measure #1

We will increase availability of prevention-related information to the general public on training and events, potential funding

sources, and resources for practicing evidence-based prevention through GOSAP's prevention clearinghouse website.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Output		Up

# **Measure Methodology**

Virginia Interactive produces statistical reports for this site. These reports provide the average number of user sessions per day, calculated as: number of user sessions / number of days.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	7/1/2006	Average sessions per day
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
200	6/30/2008	Average sessions per day

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter Third Quarter		Fourth Quarter
2007	276.4	323.3	357.1	373.2
2008	364.7			

#### **Explanatory Note**

A session is defined as all hits, by one user, during a visit to a web site. A user session is terminated when a user is inactive for more than 30 minutes. Hence each time a person visits the site, no matter how many pages within the site they view, or how many documents they download, it is counted as one session.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 136 of 201

Secretary 06 Public Safety

# Governor's Office of Substance Abuse Prevention (853)

#### Service Area

Substance Abuse Research, Planning and Coordination

#### **Objective**

Increase the use of proven prevention strategies.

#### Measure #2

We will increase availability of community-level data, links to proven prevention strategies, and information on model prevention programs to the general public through GOSAP's Internet-based Community Profile Database.

rwh

Key Measure		Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	X	Output		Up

# **Measure Methodology**

VITA produces statistical reports for this site. These reports provide the average number of user sessions per day, calculated as: number of user sessions / number of days.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
31.2	6/30/2006	Average sessions per day
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2008	Average sessions per day

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	0.5	31.5	41.7	55.1
2007	51.2	66.1	115.7	152.8
2008				

# **Explanatory Note**

A session is defined as all hits, by one user, during a visit to a web site. A user session is terminated when a user is inactive for more than 30 minutes. Hence each time a person visits the site, no matter how many pages within the site they view, or how many documents they download, it is counted as one session.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 137 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jlv
Department of	f Veterans Services (912)	

**Education Program Certification for Veterans** 

# **Objective**

To conduct program approval actions in a timely, accurate, and consistent manner.

# Measure #1

Percentage of approval actions processed within 30 days of receipt.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Up

# **Measure Methodology**

Data is compiled from the education data reporting system and reports filed by staff

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
83	9/30/2004	83% of 4,700 approval actions were processed within 30 days of receipt in FFY 2004
83	9/30/2004	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

# **Measure Target**

Value	Date	Description
100	9/30/2008	100% of approval actions processed within 30 days of receipt

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2005	91		
2006	89		
2007	100		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

This measure is reported on a Federal Fiscal Year (Oct 1, 20XX - Sep 30, 20XX) basis. Federal Fiscal Year 2007 data will be reported in October, 2007.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 138 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jlv
Department of	f Veterans Services (912)	

**Education Program Certification for Veterans** 

# **Objective**

To perform annual supervisory visits to facilities, schools, and training establishments to determine their qualifications for furnishing approved courses and programs.

# Measure #2

Percentage of supervisory visits made to active facilities annually.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Data is compiled from the education data reporting system and reports filed by staff

# **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
84	9/30/2004	Supervisory visits were made to 84% (310 of 370) of active
		facilities in FFY 2004

# **Measure Target**

Value	Date	Description
80	9/30/2008	Conduct supervisory visits to 80% of active facilities each year

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2005	83		
2006	94		
2007	88		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

This measure is reported on a Federal Fiscal Year (Oct 1, 20XX - Sep 30, 20XX) basis. Federal Fiscal Year 2007 data will be reported in October, 2007.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 139 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jlv
Department o	f Veterans Services (912)	

**Education Program Certification for Veterans** 

# **Objective**

To provide technical and or administrative assistance to training establishments.

# Measure #3

Percentage of requests for assistance in preparing program approval packages honored within 30 days.

Key Measure Measure Type Preferred Trend
Outcome Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Data is compiled from the education data reporting system and reports filed by staff

# **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
100	9/30/2004	1,200 of 1,200 (100%) requests for assistance were processed
		within 30 days in FFY 2004

# **Measure Target**

Value		Date	Description
	100	9/30/2008	100% of requests for assistance honored within 30 days

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2005	100		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

This measure is reported on a Federal Fiscal Year (Oct 1, 20XX - Sep 30, 20XX) basis. Federal Fiscal Year 2007 data will be reported in October, 2007.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 140 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jlv
Department o	f Veterans Services (912)	
Service Area		

**Education Program Certification for Veterans** 

# **Objective**

To promote veterans education programs to all SAA customers.

# Measure #4

Number of Transition Assistance Program (TAP) briefings conducted per year.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Data is compiled from the education data reporting system and reports filed by staff

# **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description		
10	9/30/2005	Ten TAP briefings were held in FFY05		
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description		
12	9/30/2008	Provide at least 12 TAP briefings annually		

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	20		
2007	46		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

This measure is reported on a Federal Fiscal Year (Oct 1, 20XX - Sep 30, 20XX) basis. Federal Fiscal Year 2007 data will be reported in October, 2007. Based on FFY06 performance, this measure will be reviewed in FY08 and adjusted as necessary.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 141 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jlv
Department o	f Veterans Services (912)	

**Education Program Certification for Veterans** 

# **Objective**

To promote veterans education programs to all SAA customers.

# Measure #5

Number of veterans benefits/job fair events participated in per year.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Maintain

# **Measure Methodology**

Data is compiled from the education data reporting system and reports filed by staff

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
6	9/30/2004	Six benefits/job fairs were attended in FFY 2004
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
6	9/30/2008	Participate in at least six benefits/job fairs per year

# **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2005	7		
2006	48		
2007	7		
2008			

# **Explanatory Note**

This measure is reported on a Federal Fiscal Year (Oct 1, 20XX - Sep 30, 20XX) basis. Federal Fiscal Year 2007 data will be reported in October, 2007. Based on FFY06 performance, this measure will be reviewed in FFY08 and adjusted as necessary.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 142 of 201

jΙν

# **Service Area**

**Veterans Care Center Operations** 

# **Objective**

To serve the greatest number of veterans by maintaining the highest practical facility census

# Measure #6

We will serve more veterans by increasing the rate of occupancy in our Nursing Care section

Key Measure		Measure Type		Preferred Trend
X		Output		Up

# **Measure Methodology**

VVCC Monthly Report. The Nursing Care Section occupancy rate is determined by dividing the actual number of patient days provided by the total number of patient days the VVCC is capable of providing

### Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description
93	6/30/2005	The Nursing Care section occupancy rate was 93% in FY05
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
95	6/30/2008	95% occupancy rate in the Nursing Care section by FY08

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2005	94.08	92.93	94.15	91.45
2006	90.85	95.51	96.98	97.30
2007	96.90	97.35	97.12	94.66
2008	94.34			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 143 of 201

**Veterans Care Center Operations** 

# **Objective**

To serve the greatest number of veterans by maintaining the highest practical facility census

# Measure #7

We will serve more veterans by increasing the rate of occupancy in our Domiciliary Care section

Key I	Measure	Measure Type	F	Preferred Trend			
	X	Output	ı	Up			

# **Measure Methodology**

VVCC Monthly Report. The Domiciliary Care Occupancy Rate is determined by dividing the actual number of patient days provided by the total number of patient days the VVCC is capable of providing

# **Measure Baseline**

value	Date	Description
94	6/30/2005	The Domiciliary Care section occupancy rate was 94% in FY05
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
93	6/30/2008	93% occupancy rate in the Domiciliary Care section by FY08

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2005	94.91	96.79	89.22	95.02
2006	92.92	95.80	96.94	93.17
2007	89.64	90.31	89.31	97.07
2008	95.42			

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 144 of 201

Secret	ary 06	Publi	ic Safety	/										jlv
Depa	rtmen	t of Ve	terans	Serv	ices	(912)								
<u>Service</u> Veterar		Center C	)peratio	ns										
Objecti To prov		highest p	ossible	quality	of care	е								
Measur	e #8													
Numbe	er of def	iciencies	on ann	ual Virg	inia De	epartment	of Health	inspe	ectio	n				
Key Mea	sure	Measure Type Output			Preferred Trend  Down									
			ensure I	nspecti	on rep	ort issued	by Virgin	ia Der	partn	nent of	Healtl	า		
	e Baseli		Date	•	•	Description								
	1	I		6/30/2	2005	One def	ficiency o	n FY2	2005	inspec	tion			
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Target	t .	Date			Description	n							
	C	)		6/30/2	800	Zero de	ficiencies							
Measure														
Year	Annual N								7					
2006		2												
2007 2008		2												
Explana	atory No	te												

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 145 of 201

Secreta	ary 06	Publi	ic Safety	•		JIV
Depa	rtmen	t of Ve	terans	Services	s (912)	
<u>Objectiv</u>	ns Care <u>ve</u>	Center C	•	ns quality of ca	are	
Measur	e #9					
Numbe	er of def	iciences	on annu	al U.S. Dep	partment of Veterans Affairs inspection	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Гуре		erred Trend intain	
Measur	e Metho	dology				
Inspect	tion repo	ort issues	by U.S	. Departme	nt of Veterans Affairs	
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Baseli	ne	Date		Description	
	C	)		6/30/2005	Zero deficiencies on 2005 inspection	
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Target		Date		Description	
	C	)		6/30/2008	Zero deficiencies	
Measure	Data					
Year	Annual N					
2006		0				
2007 2008		0				
Explana	atory No	te		,		

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 146 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jlv
Department of	of Veterans Services (912)	

**Veterans Care Center Operations** 

#### **Objective**

To provide the highest possible quality of care

#### Measure #10

Resident Satisfaction Survey with at least 90% of the responses "excellent" or "good".

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Up

## **Measure Methodology**

A survey of VVCC residents or their responsible party (usually a family member) is conducted biannually. The 2004 and 2006 surveys each contained 14 questions. Possible responses are "excellent," "good," "fair," and "poor."

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
11	6/30/2004	2004 Survey - 11 of 14 questions
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
14	6/30/2008	For all questions asked on the Resident Satisfaction Survey, at least 90% of the responses will be "excellent" or "good"

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	11		
2005			
2006	11		
2007			
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

The Resident Satisfaction Survey contains 14 questions. The DVS goal is that for each question, 90% of the responses will be "excellent" or "good." On the 2004 and 2006 surveys, 11 of 14 questions had at least 90% of the responses as "excellent" or "good."

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 147 of 201

#### jΙν

## Department of Veterans Services (912)

## Service Area

Case Management Services for Veterans Benefits

#### **Objective**

To ensure that more of Virginia's veterans receive the disability benefits to which they are entitled by increasing the approval rate on claims

#### Measure #11

We will increase the percentage of veteran claims filed by DVS and awarded by the USDVA

Key Measur	e Measure Type	Preferred Trend
X	Output	Up

## **Measure Methodology**

DVS Claims Activity Report for the Fiscal Year July 1, 20XX - June 30, 20XX. Data for the Claims Activity Report is compiled from DVS and USDVA sources. The percentage of claims approved is calculated by dividing the number of initial claims for compensation and pension benefits approved by the USDVA by the number of claims submitted by DVS

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
69.6	3/31/2005	FY05 Q3 claims approval rate was 69.6%
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
71.5	6/30/2008	Achieve a claims approval rate of 71.5% by FY08

### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2005			69.6	66.4
2006	67.9	64.5	64.5	67.1
2007	63.6	62.9	66.5	65.6
2008	66.0			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 148 of 201

Secreta	ary 06	Publ	ic Safety											jlν	′
Depa	rtmen	t of Ve	terans	Serv	ices	(912)									
<u>Objectiv</u>	lanagen <u>ve</u>	nent Serv				nefits ams and	benefits								
Measur	e #12														
Numbe	er of cor	tacts wit	h organi:	zations	on the	e "key cor	itacts list".								
	Key Measure Measure Type Preferred  Outcome Up			d Trend											
	e Metho														
Data w	ill be co	llected fr	om mon	thly rep	orts su	ubmitted I	by each fie	eld offi	ice						
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Baseli	ne	Date			Descriptio	n								
	C			6/30/2	2007		easure – d ill serve as				he pro	gram	is instit	uted	in
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Target	:	Date			Descriptio	n								
	25	5		6/30/2	2010	25 conta	acts per fi	eld off	fice pe	year					
<b>Measure</b> Year	Data Annual N	<i>M</i> easure													
2007	, umaar r														
2008															

DVS has decided not to pursue this objective. It will be eliminated in future versions of the service area plan.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 149 of 201

Secret	ary 06	Publ	ic Safety	•						jlv	
Depa	rtmen	t of Ve	terans	Serv	ices	(912)					
<u>Objecti</u>	lanagen <u>ve</u>	nent Serv									
l o rais	e aware	ness of v	eterans	service	e progr	ams and	benefits				
Measur	e #13										
Numbe	er of eve	ents (VSC	) meetin	gs, cou	unty fai	rs, job fai	rs, etc.) wit	th DV	S participation.		
Key Mea				Preferre Up	d Trend						
Measur	e Metho	dology									
Data w	ill be co	llected fr	om mon	thly rep	orts su	ubmitted I	oy each fie	ld offi	ce		
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Baseli	ne	Date			Descriptio	n				
	C	)		6/30/2	2007		easure – da ill serve as		athered after the program paseline	n is instituted in	
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Target	t	Date			Descriptio	n				
	4	1		6/30/2	2010	Four ev	ents per fie	eld off	fice per year		
Measure											
Year 2007	Annual N	Measure 									
2007											

DVS has decided not to pursue this objective. It will be eliminated in future versions of the service area plan.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 150 of 201

Secretary 06	Publi	c Safety			jlv					
Department of Veterans Services (912)										
Service Area Case Managen Objective To reach more				nefits o itinerant service points						
Measure #14										
Percentage of	departme	ent claim	ns activities ge	enerated at the itinerant service points.						
Key Measure	Measure Type Pref		Preferred	d Trend						
Measure Metho	dology									
Data will be co	llected fro	om mon	thly reports su	bmitted by each field office						
<b>Measure Baseli</b> Value	ne	Date		Description						
(	)		6/30/2007	Data gathered in FY07 will serve as the baseline for this me	easure					
<b>Measure Target</b> Value		Date		Description						
10	)		6/30/2010	10% of all claims activities will be started at the itinerant serpoints by FY10	vice					
Measure Data Year Annual N	Measure									
. Jai / William I										

2007 2008

DVS has decided not to pursue this objective. It will be eliminated in future versions of the service area plan.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 151 of 201

Secretary 06	Publi	c Safety			jlv
Departmen	t of Vet	erans Serv	ices (	912)	
Service Area Case Manager Objective To strengthen				efits e job performance through on-going training	
Measure #15					
Percentage of	Veterans	Service Repr	esentativ	es who have attended at least one training class	
Key Measure	Measure T	уре	Preferred Up	Trend	
Measure Metho	dology				
Report from Ti	raining Of	ficer			
<b>Measure Baseli</b> Value		Date	1	Description	
(	)	6/30/2	2007	At present, no refresher/ongoing training is provided to VSF	Rs

Value

**Measure Target** 

100

Year	Annual Measure		
2007			
2008			

6/30/2010

Date

## **Explanatory Note**

DVS has decided not to pursue this objective. It will be eliminated in future versions of the service area plan.

Description

100% of Veterans Service Representatives to attend at least one

refresher/ongoing training class per year

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 152 of 201

Secret	ary 06	Publ	lic Safety	,										jlv
Depa	Department of Veterans Services (912)													
<u>Objecti</u>	/lanagen <u>ve</u> ngthen '	nent Ser Veterans					rform	nance th	ırou	gh on-goin	ıg trainir	ng		
Pass ra	ate on s	kill/know	ledge as	sessm	ent tes	ts admini	stere	ed to VSI	Rs.					
Key Measure Measure Type Output		Preferred Trend Up												
	e Metho													
Report	t from Ti	raining C	Officer. E	ach VS	SR will	be given a	a tes	t after th	ne c	ompletion	of refre	sher/or	ngoing t	raining
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Baseli	ne	Date			Description	n							
	(	)		6/30/2	2007	At prese	ent,	there is	no s	skill/knowle	edge as	sessm	ent prog	gram
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Target	i	Date			Description	n							
	100			6/30/2	6/30/2010 100% p		ass r	ate						
<b>Measure</b> Year 2007 2008	e Data Annual M	Measure  												

DVS has decided not to pursue this objective. It will be eliminated in future versions of the service area plan.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 153 of 201

Secretary 06	Publi	c Safety	,	jlv
Departmen	t of Vet	erans	Services	(912)
Service Area Case Managen Objective To strengthen of Survivors and I	eligible st	udents'	awareness of	the educational assistance provided through the Virginia Military
	Vinada la la	المام المالية		d analy was
Percentage of	virginia r	lign sch	oois contacte	d each year
Key Measure	Measure T		Preferre Up	ed Trend
Measure Metho	dology			
Process comp	letion rep	ort from	VMSDEP ma	anager
<b>Measure Baseli</b> √alue	ne	Date		Description
C	)		6/30/2007	New measure - data collected in FY07 will serve as the baseline
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	İ	Date		Description
100	)		6/30/2010	100% of Virginia high schools contacted each year

Year	Annual Measure		
2007			
2008			

## **Explanatory Note**

DVS has decided not to pursue this objective. It will be eliminated in future versions of the service area plan.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 154 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jlv			
Department of Veterans Services (912)					
Camilaa Ausa					

Case Management Services for Veterans Benefits

## **Objective**

To strengthen eligible students' awareness of the educational assistance provided through the Virginia Military Survivors and Dependents Education Program (VMSDEP)

#### Measure #18

Number of "VMSDEP Graduate Recognition Program" promotions made each year

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Outcome	Up	

## **Measure Methodology**

Process completion report from VMSDEP manager

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description	
0 6/30/2007		New measure - data collected in FY07 will serve as the baseline	
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description	
4	6/30/2010	Promote the "VMSDEP Graduate Recognition Program" at least four times per year through various channels (letters to high school guidance counselors, department website, etc.)	

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2007			
2008			

## **Explanatory Note**

DVS has decided not to pursue this objective. It will be eliminated in future versions of the service area plan.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 155 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jlv
Department o	f Veterans Services (912)	

Administrative and Support Services

## **Objective**

To ensure that resources are used efficiently and programs are managed effectively, and in a manner consistent with applicable state and federal requirements.

#### Measure #19

Percent of Governor's Management scorecard categories marked as meets expectations for the agency

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Output	Up	

#### **Measure Methodology**

The Management Scorecard grades agencies on five criteria: Human Resource Management, Government Procurement, Financial Management, Technology, and Performance Management (the sixth, "Environmental & Historic Resource Stewardship" was not measured in 2005). Dividing the number of scorecard categories that meet expectations by the number of categories (i.e. 5) yields the percentage of scorecard categories that meet expectations.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Scorecard data was not collected in FY06. Data collection will begin in FY07  Measure Target Value Date Description  100 6/30/2008 Description 100 0/30/2008 Description	Value	Date	Description				
Measure Target Value Date Description	0	6/30/2006	Scorecard data was not collected in FY06. Data collection will				
Value Date Description			begin in FY07				
	Measure Target						
100 6/30/2008 100% of Governor's Management scorecard categories marked	Value	Date	Description				
as meets expectations	100 6/30/2008		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

## Measure Data

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	0		
2007	50		
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

For the Department of Veterans Services, 3 of 6 scorecard categories were rated as "Meets Expectations" for FY07

Page 156 of 201 Friday, January 04, 2008

Secretary 06	Public Safety	,		jlv
Department	of Veterans	Services	(912)	
Service Area State Veterans Cemetery Management and Operations  Digiective  To increase the number of burials/inurnments at Virginia's veterans cemeteries.  Measure #20				
Percentage inc	rease in the num	ber of burials	at the Virginia Veterans Cemetery	
Key Measure			ed Trend	
Measure Method				
Data will be col	lected from the r	monthly intern	nent reports prepared by each cemetery	
<b>Measure Baselir</b> Value	n <b>e</b> Date		Description	
188		6/30/2005	There were 188 burials in FY05	
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date		Description	
239		6/30/2010	Increase the number of burials by 5% per year through FY′ (240 burials/year in FY10)	10
/leasure Data				

Year	Annual Measure		
2005	188		
2006	231		
2007	228		
2008			

Page 157 of 201 Friday, January 04, 2008

Secretary 06	Public	Safety			jlv
Departmen	t of Vete	erans Servic	es (91	2)	
State Veterans Cemetery Management and Operations  Objective To increase the number of burials/inurnments at Virginia's veterans cemeteries.  Measure #21					
Percentage inc	crease in th	ne number of bu	urials at t	the Albert G. Horton, Jr. Memorial Veterans Cemetery	
Key Measure	Measure Typ		eferred Tre	end	
<b>Measure Metho</b>	dology				
Data will be collected from the monthly interment reports prepared by each cemetery					
<b>Measure Baseli</b> ⁄alue		ate	Des	scription	
478	3	6/30/200		nere were 478 burials/inurnments in FY06, the first full FY peration	of

Description

Increase the number of burials/inurnments by 10% per year through FY10 (700 burials/year in FY10)

## **Measure Data**

**Measure Target** 

700

Value

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	478		
2007	510		
2008			

6/30/2010

Date

## **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 158 of 201

Secretary 06	ecretary 06 Public Safety jlv				
Department	t of Vet	erans	Services	(912)	
Service Area State Veterans Cemetery Management and Operations  Display tive  To increase the number of burials/inurnments at Virginia's veterans cemeteries.  Measure #22					
Number of out	each act	ivities pe	er year		
	Measure T		Preferre Up	d Trend	
Measure Metho		om the v	veekly renorts	s prepared by each cemetery	
Measure Baseli Value		Date	roomy roporte	Description	
25			6/30/2007	25 outreach activities in FY07	
<b>Measure Target</b> Value		Date		Description	
50			6/30/2010	50 outreach activities per year	

Year	Annual Measure		
2007	25		
2008			

## **Explanatory Note**

This is a new measure – program instituted in FY07

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 159 of 201

Secretary 06 Public Safety

## Commonwealth's Attorneys' Services Council (957)

## Service Area

**Prosecutorial Training** 

#### **Objective**

Ensure all Virginia prosecutors receive quality continuing education through Council sponsored training programs to meet the annual MCLE requirments.

rmt

#### Measure #1

We will increase attorney satisfaction with training programs to meet MCLE requirements. The Virginia State Bar requires all attorneys to attend 13 hours of continuing legal education annually to maintain a license to practice law.

Key Measure		Measure Type	Preferred Trend
X		Output	Up

#### **Measure Methodology**

Program participants complete evaluations rating the overall quality of each program using a 5 point scale ranging from "Excellent" to "Poor."

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
80	12/31/2005	80% of training participants rate quality of training programs as good or excellent.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
85	12/31/2007	85% satisfaction with the quality and content of training opportunities sponsored by the Council.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2007			92.5	92.5
2008	92.5			

## **Explanatory Note**

Data collected by calendar year. This objective is new in that the number of programs being conducted increased.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 160 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Commonw	realth's Attorneys' Services Council (957)	

**Prosecutorial Training** 

## **Objective**

To provide a professional organization for the education, training, services and coordination of technical efforts of state prosecutors.

#### Measure #2

We will increase opportunities for prosecutor training by offering sufficient available training hours through CASC programs.

Key Measure		Measure Type		Preferred Trend
×	(	Output		Up

## **Measure Methodology**

CASC traditionally offers 6 specific training programs annually. This measure tracks new programs offered in addition to the existing scheduled trainings.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
6	12/31/2005	The number of training programs offered annually by CASC.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
9	12/31/2007	Provide three additional training opportunities annually (contingent on funding availability).

## Measure Data

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	13		
2007	13		
2008			

## **Explanatory Note**

Data collected on calendar year.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 161 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Commonweal	th's Attorneys' Services Council (957)	

Technical Assistance and Information Dissemination to Prosecutors

## **Objective**

Number of Court of Appeals case reversals attributable to procedural errors involving prosecutors.

#### Measure #3

Number of Court of Appeals case reversals attributable to procedural errors involving prosecutors.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Down

## **Measure Methodology**

Review of reported Court of Appeals decisions for basis of dispositions and calculating reversals attributable to avoidable prosecutor error.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
2	12/31/2005	Decisions of the Virginia Court of Appeals
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
0	12/31/2007	No reversals due to avoidable prosecutorial error is a desirable goal.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Half	Second Half	
2006	1	0	
2007	1		
2008			

## **Explanatory Note**

Data collected on calendar year.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 162 of 201

## Commonwealth's Attorneys' Services Council (957)

#### **Service Area**

Administrative Services

#### **Objective**

To have all 120 Commonwealth's Attorneys' Offices accessing the CASC Resource Center.

#### Measure #4

Number of Commonwealth's Attorney's offices accessing the CASC Resource Center.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Up

### **Measure Methodology**

Track number of Commonwealth's Attorneys' offices that have executed Memoranda of Understanding for access to the CASC Resource Center.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
75	12/31/2005	Number of offices enrolled.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
120	12/31/2007	Target describes all Commonwealth's Attorneys' offices online and accessing the Resource Center.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006	81	85	86	86
2007	86	86	86	86
2008	86			

## **Explanatory Note**

Data collected on calendar year.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 163 of 201

Administrative Services

## **Objective**

To have all 120 Commonwealth's Attorney's offices up and running on the VCAIS system.

#### Measure #5

To provide each Commonwealth's Attorney's office access to the Virginia Commonwealth's Attorneys' Information System

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend		
	Output	Up		

## **Measure Methodology**

Track actual additional installations by CASC engineer as increase in cumulative number of offices.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description			
43	12/31/2005	Cumulative number of offices with VCAIS			
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description			
48	12/31/2007	Target describes desirable efficient use of CASC engineer available time for installations.			

#### **Measure Data**

Year	First Half	Second Half	
2006	45	48	
2007	50	52	
2008			

## **Explanatory Note**

Data collected on calendar year.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 164 of 201

Secret	ary 06	Publi	ic Safet	<b>y</b>								JIV
Depa	rtment	of Fire	e Prog	ırams	(960	0)						
<u>Objecti</u>	rvices M											
Measur	e #1											
Percer	nt of Gov	ernor's N	Manage	ment So	coreca	rd catego	ries marke	d as	meets expe	ctations fo	r the agency	/
Key Mea		Measure 1 Output	Гуре		Preferre Up	ed Trend						
			stances	where	the ag	ency scor	red "meets	expe	ectations" by	the total r	number of	
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Baselir	ne	Date			Descriptio	n					
	100			7/1/2	004	100% (F	FY 2005)					
<b>Measur</b> Value	re Target		Date	6/30/2	007	Descriptio	n F <b>Y</b> 2007)					
<b>Measure</b> Year	<b>Data</b> Annual M	easure										
2006	, annual IVI	100										
2007		100										
2008		100										
Explana	atory Not	е										

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 165 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jlv
Department o	f Fire Programs (960)	

Virginia Fire Services Research

#### Objective

To continually identify and report on the current state and needs of Virginia's fire service

#### Measure #2

Percentage of local fire service needs addressed through aid to localities funding

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Maintain

#### **Measure Methodology**

Each year several elements of the original Virginia Fire Service Needs Assessment are re-evaluated, with a continual assessment of "top 3 needs." From the results of the 2005 Virginia Fire Service Needs Assessment, published in January 2006, we were able to assess an estimated need of \$101,066,220 for FY 2005 (this number continually changes with equipment replacement, technology updates, etc.). In FY 2005 the Virginia Fire Programs Fund provided \$14,604,601.52 in Aid-to-Localities funding for Virginia's fire departments.

From the results of the 2006 Virginia Fire Service Needs Assessment, published in January 2007, we were able to assess an estimated need of \$160.4M for FY 2006 (this number continually changes with equipment replacement, technology updates, etc.). In FY 2006 the Virginia Fire Programs Fund provided \$18.1M in Aid-to-Localities funding for Virginia's fire departments.

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
14.5	7/1/2004	14.5% (FY 2005)
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
14.5	6/30/2008	14.5% (FY 2008)

#### **Measure Data**

	Year	Annual Measure		
2	2006	14.5		
2	2007	10.3		
2	2008			

## **Explanatory Note**

FY 2005 ATL Allocations	\$14,604,601.52
FY 2006 ATL Allocations	\$16,654,699.00
FY 2007 ATL Allocations	\$18,091,828.64
FY 2008 ATL Allocations	\$19,539,031.00

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 166 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jlv
Department o	f Fire Programs (960)	

Virginia Fire Services Research

## **Objective**

To increase Access/Raise Awareness of the Virginia Fire Incident Reporting System (VFIRS)

#### Measure #3

We will increase the percentage of fire departments participating in the Virginia Fire Incident Reporting System

Key Measure		Measure Type		Preferred Trend
X		Output		Up

## **Measure Methodology**

Every year VDFP will measure the number of departments reporting information into VFIRS and then compare it with the previous year. (# of fire departments reporting/#of fire departments in VA).

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
74	7/1/2004	74% (FY 2005)
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
95	6/30/2008	95% (FY 2008)

#### **Measure Data**

rear	Annual Measure		
2006	77.1		
2007	81.0		
2008	81.0		

## **Explanatory Note**

Measure is number of elgible fire depts reporting in VFIRS. Measured as a percentage.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 167 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safet	y	jlv
Departmen	t of Fire Prog	grams (960	0)
Objective To adhere to N	Training and Prof lational Fire Prof aining in live-fire	ection Agency	elopment y (NFPA) 1403 Live Burn Training Standard which establishes safe
Number of fire	training related	iniuries	
ramber of me	training related	iiijui ieo	
Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferre	red Trend
	Outcome	Down	n
Measure Metho	dology		
			ing course, an accident form is completed and signed by the varded to the Branch Chief of Operations for entry into a database.
<b>Measure Basel</b> i /alue	<b>ine</b> Date		Description
20	0	7/1/2004	20 injuries (FY 2005)
<b>Measure Targe</b> /alue	Date		Description
(	0	6/30/2007	0 (FY 2008)

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	8		
2007	7		
2008	0		

## **Explanatory Note**

All injuries are thoroughly investigated, documented, and corrective actions initiated where necessary.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 168 of 201

Secretary 06	Publi	ic Safety			jlv
Departmen	t of Fire	e Progr	ams (960	)	
Service Area Fire Services T Objective To adhere to N practices for tra Measure #5	ational Fi	ire Prote	ction Agency	lopment (NFPA) 1403 Live Burn Training Standard which establishes	s safe
Number of fire	training i	related d	eaths		
Key Measure	Measure T				
Measure Metho	dology				
				ng course, an accident form is completed and signed by the arded to the Branch Chief of Operations for entry into a datal	oase.
<b>Measure Baseli</b> Value	ne	Date		Description	
C	)		7/1/2004	0 deaths (FY 2005)	
<b>Measure Target</b> √alue	:	Date		Description	
C	)		6/30/2007	0 (FY2008)	

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	0		
2007	0		
2008	0		

# **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 169 of 201

Secretary 06	Publi	ic Safety			jlv
Departmen	t of Fire	e Program	s (960	0)	
Service Area Fire Services T	raining a	nd Professio	nal Deve	lopment	
<u>Objective</u>	lational Fi	ire Protection	Agency	(NFPA) 1403 Live Burn Training Standard which esta	ablishes safe
Measure #6					
Percentage of	live-burn	training exer	cises in	compliance with the National Fire Protection Agency	standard
Key Measure	Measure T	Гуре	Preferre	d Trend	
Measure Metho	dology				
Number of live using an in-ho			mpleted	in compliance with NFPA 1403. Recorded by Division	on Offices
<b>Measure Basel</b> i Value	ine	Date		Description	
60	O	7/1	/2006	60 live burns (FY 2006)	
Measure Targe	t				

115

Value

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007	109		
2008	30		

6/30/2008

Date

## **Explanatory Note**

Documented in VDFP's training database, FSTRS. This measure is based on number of live burns (not measured in percentage).

Description

115 live burns (FY 2008)

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 170 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety		jlv
Departmen	t of Fire Program	ns (960)	
<u>Objective</u>	raining and Professio	onal Development ency Services Higher Education (FESHE)/Professional Deve	lopment
Total number	of Pro Board National	Registries for the year.	
Key Measure	Measure Type Outcome	Preferred Trend Up	
Measure Metho	dology		
	strations from year to year to december - December	year. Repoted quarterly (January to March; April to June; Juler).	y to
<b>Measure Baseli</b> /alue	i <b>ne</b> Date	Description	

Value

**Measure Target** 

6,000

10,000

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	26,995		
2007	9,331		
2008	1,619		

Description

6/30/2007

4/30/2008

Date

## **Explanatory Note**

FY06 has a higher number of Pro-Board registrations because VDFP did a back registration from Jan. 1, 2003 to June 30, 2005.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 171 of 201

Secreta	ary 06	Publi	ic Safety	,			jlv
Depa	rtment	t of Fire	e Prog	rams	(960	0)	
<u>Objectiv</u>	rvices T <u>ve</u> ance Vir	_				elopment rvices Higher Education (FESHE)/Professional Development	
Numbe	er of stud	dents rec	eiving c	areer de	velop	oment training (ACE registrations)	
	ey Measure Measure Type Preferre Outcome Up			ed Trend			
	e Metho						
Total N	lumber o	of Americ	can Cou	ncil on E	duca	ation (ACE) registrations.	
<b>Measure</b> Value	e Baselii		Date	=///00		Description (T) (2000)	
	150			7/1/20	05	150 (FY 2006)	
<b>Measure</b> Value	e Target		Date			Description	
	450			6/30/20	80	450 (FY 2008)	
<b>Measure</b> Year	Data Annual M	1easure					
2006		200					

312

15

2007

2008

VDFP is ACE accredited and our agency encourages students to use their VDFP training certifications to receive associate-level college credit.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 172 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jlv
Department o	f Fire Programs (960)	

**Technical Assistance and Consultation Services** 

## **Objective**

To serve as a clearing house for best practices and information dissemination on a broad spectrum of initiatives at the state and national level.

#### Measure #9

Number of statewide consultation/presentations

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Maintain

## **Measure Methodology**

VDFP will track through an internal database collecting information provided by all managers/Chiefs via their weekly reports and After Action Reports.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
25	7/1/2005	25 (FY 2006)
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
45	6/30/2008	45 (FY 2008)

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	50		
2007	64		
2008	5		

## **Explanatory Note**

Secretary of Public Safety reports are completed weekly reporting major events.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 173 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety		jlv		
Departmen	t of Fire Program	s (960)			
<u>Objective</u>	erational Response Se eavy Technical Rescue	ervices e (HTR) training and support			
wieasure #10					
•	To support the Comm	nonwealth of Virginia during times of crisis.			
Key Measure	Measure Type Outcome	Preferred Trend Up			
Measure Metho	dology				
Using an in-house tracking database to determine total number of Emergency Operations Center activations that involve VDFP personnel (measured in hours).					
Moscuro Bacoli	no				

174

Value

Measure Target				
Value	Date	Description		
191	6/30/2008	191 (CY2008)		

Description

174 (CY2005)

## **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	191		
2007	387		
2008	0		

7/1/2004

Date

## **Explanatory Note**

This measure is measured using man-hours of EOC support/deployment and training.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 174 of 201

Secret	ary 06	Publ	ic Safety	/								Jiv
Depa	rtmen	t of Fir	e Prog	rams	(960	0)				 		
Objecti	ency Op <u>ve</u> ntain Mo	erationa obile Inci				eadiness						
Numbe	er of MIS	ST qualif	ied pers	onnel								
Outcome				ed Trend								
	e Metho er of pec		ed annu	ally to	nualify	for MIST	team depl	ovmer	nt.			
	e Baseli	•	Date	iany to	quay	Description		oyo.				
	75	5		7/1/2	2005	21 (FY	2006)					
<b>Measur</b> Value	re Target		Date	6/30/2	2008	Description 49 (FY						
	100			0/00/2	.000	40 (1 1	2000)					
Measure												
Year	Annual N						1					
2006		100										
2007		90										

MIST personnel have been trained in ICS 300, 400, CG5, Inter-agency.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 175 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jlv
Department o	f Fire Programs (960)	

Public Fire and Life Safety Educational Services

#### **Objective**

To reduce civilian fire injuries and deaths in Virginia.

#### Measure #12

Through our training and educational outreach programs, VDFP will strive to help reduce the number of fire casualties.

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Outcome		Down	

#### **Measure Methodology**

Data from the Virginia Fire Incident Reporting System is used to provide fatalities caused by fire. VDFP will cross examine the total fire fatalities listed in VFIRS and compare it to the total number of training and educational outreach programs conducted throughout the Commonwealth.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
525	7/1/2004	525 fire casualties (403 injuries, 122 deaths) in CY 2005.
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
472	6/30/2007	472 casualties (CY 2006) 425 casualties (CY 2007)

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	453		
2007	46		
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

Statistics are based on calendar year. Total for CY 2006 is 453. CY 2007 is currently at 46 deaths in the Commonwealth as a result of fire. This data will be updated 1/1/08 to reflect the entire calendar year 2007 data.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 176 of 201

Secretary 06	Public	c Safety			jlv	
Departmer	nt of Fire	Programs	(960)			
Service Area Fire Programs Objective To continue er Measure #13			ocalities Proc	esses/Delivery System		
Percentage of	f on-time fu	unding disbur	sements			
Key Measure	Measure Ty Outcome	,	Preferred Trend Maintain	I		
Measure Metho	odology					
ATL is dispersed quarterly upon submittal of the proper paperwork by the individual localities. We will track the dates completed paperwork is received and align it to the corresponding published disbursement date.						
<b>Measure Basel</b> ⁄alue		Date	Descr	ption		
10	0	7/1/2	2005 1009	% disbursed on-time (FY 2006)		

Description

100% (FY 2008)

## **Measure Data**

Value

**Measure Target** 

100

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	100		
2007	100		
2008	60		

6/30/2008

Date

## **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 177 of 201

Secretary	06	Public Safety	
Departm	nent o	f Fire Programs	(960)

Burn Building Grants

## **Objective**

To streamline burn building grant administration

#### Measure #14

## Rate of turnaround on grants for new construction

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Input		Maintain	

## **Measure Methodology**

Accurate in-house recording of application date, application approval, funding year, draws on allocation, and building completion.

jΙν

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description		
32	7/1/2005	32 month turnaround for new construction (turnaround = grant		
		award -to-completion by recipient) FY 2006		
Measure Target	5.4			
Value	Date	Description		
24	6/30/2008	24 month turnaround for new construction (turnaround = grant		

award -to-completion by recipient) FY 2008

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	24		
2007	24		
2008	24		

## **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 178 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	jlv
Department of	of Fire Programs (960)	

**Burn Building Grants** 

## **Objective**

To streamline burn building grant administration

## Measure #15

## Rate of turnaround on grants for repairs

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend		
	Input		Maintain	

Date

## **Measure Methodology**

Accurate in-house recording of application date, application approval, funding year, draws on allocation, and building completion.

Description

## **Measure Baseline**

Value

18	7/1/2005	18 month turnaround for repairs (turnaround = grant award-to-completion by recipient) for FY 2006.
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
12	6/30/2008	12 month turnaround for repairs (turnaround = grant award-to-

completion by recipient) for FY 2008.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	12		
2007	12		
2008	12		

## **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 179 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safet	у		jlv		
Departmen	t of Fire Prog	rams (960	0)			
Service Area Categorical Gra Dijective		or the fire and	emergency services community.			
Veasure #16	g opportunities it		emergency services community.			
	nt dollars award	ed				
Key Measure	Measure Type Outcome	Preferre	d Trend			
Measure Metho	dology					
	S reports and int orical grant prog		databases, we track the amount of funding awar	ded through each		
<b>Vleasure Baseli</b> ∕alue	<b>ne</b> Date		Description			
125,000	)	7/1/2005	\$125,000 (FY 2006)			
<b>Measure Target</b> /alue	t Date		Description			
250 000	)	6/30/2008	\$250,000 (FY 2008)			

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	395,000		
2007	320,482		
2008			

## **Explanatory Note**

VFIRS and Mini-Grants are awarded in December.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 180 of 201

Secret	tary 06	Publ	ic Safety	,						jlv
Depa	rtmen	t of Fir	e Prog	rams	(960	))				
Objecti	orical Gra i <u>ve</u>		ınities fo	r the fir	e and	emergend	cy services con	nmunity.		
Measur	re #17									
Numbe	er of gra	nt award	S							
Key Mea		Measure Output	Гуре		Preferre Up	ed Trend				
	re Metho			!!! 4			-ftl	la a da £a		Laward
Inroug	gn in-noi	use datai	oases w	e will tr	ack the	e number	of actual award	is made for	each categorical	grant.
<b>Measur</b> Value	re Baseli	ne	Date			Description	n			
	35	5		7/1/2	2004	35 (FY 2	2005)			
<b>Measur</b> Value	re Target	t	Date			Description	n			
	50	)		6/30/2	8002	50 (FY 2				
Measure	e Data									
Year	Annual N					Т		7		
2006		89						-		
2007 2008		74								

VFIRS and Mini-grants will be awarded in December 2006.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 181 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department of	f Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Enforcement and Regulation of Alcoholic Beverage Control Laws

#### **Objective**

Increase Compliance with Undeage Alcohol and Tobacco Laws

### Measure #1

We will enhance the Underage Buyer (UAB) compliance rate for retail alcohol licensees

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
X	Outcome	Up

Date

### **Measure Methodology**

1 - Number of UAB sales divided by the number of total UAB Checks. Source: Data Warehouse

Description

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value

value	Date	Description
91	6/30/2004	2004 - 91% compliance rate
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
93	6/30/2008	Annual compliance rate of greater than or equal to 93%.

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	91		
2005	89		
2006	89		
2007	91		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 182 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department of	f Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Enforcement and Regulation of Alcoholic Beverage Control Laws

#### **Objective**

Increase Compliance with Undeage Alcohol and Tobacco Laws

#### Measure #2

Underage Buyer compliance rate for retail tobacco sales

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Outcome		Up	

### **Measure Methodology**

Number of UAB sales divided by the number of total UAB checks. Source: Data Warehouse

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
87	6/30/2004	2004 Compliance rate is 87%
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
90	6/30/2008	Annual compliance rate of 90%.

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	87		
2005	90		
2006	90		
2007	89		
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

ABC is the lead agency for controlling youth access to tobacco products. A random sample of 4,685 tobacco retailers was conducted from May 1 to September 30, 2006 under the Synar Contract. Tobacco retailers had a compliance rate is 90%.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 183 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department o	f Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Enforcement and Regulation of Alcoholic Beverage Control Laws

### **Objective**

Reduce Administrative Processing Time

#### Measure #3

Days to process new retail licensee application

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend	
	Outcome		Down	

### **Measure Methodology**

Number of days from receipt of license application to approval. Source: Data Warehouse

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
64	6/30/2004	2004 timeframe was 64 days to process application
Measure Target	Date	Description
value	Date	Description
60	6/30/2008	2008 - Reduce to 60 days processing time

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	64		
2005	84		
2006	72		
2007	68		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

This is a customer service measure which represents the number of calendar days it takes to process a retail license from receipt of an application to the issuance of a license.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 184 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Denartment o	of Alcoholic Reverage Control (999)	

Enforcement and Regulation of Alcoholic Beverage Control Laws

### **Objective**

Reduce Administrative Processing Time

#### Measure #4

Number of Days from the date of violation to the completion of the Hearings process

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Down

### **Measure Methodology**

Average time in days totaled for 4 stages from violation to the completion of the Hearings process. Source: Data Warehouse

#### Measure Baseline

modean Daconno		
Value	Date	Description
206	6/30/2004	2004 process was 206 days
Measure Target		
Value	Date	Description
	Date	Description

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	206		
2005	182		
2006	205		
2007	183		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

This is a customer service measure of processing times for cases in the Administrative Hearings process. It measures the number of days from receipt of an ABC violation to the Board's Decision.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 185 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department o	f Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Administrative Services

### **Objective**

Maintain Compliance With State Administrative And Financial Policies

### Measure #5

### APA: Number of Management points assessed

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Down

Date

#### **Measure Methodology**

**Annual Audit** 

Value

#### **Measure Baseline**

0	6/30/2004	2004 - 0 APA Points
Magaura Target		

Description

Value	Date	Description
0	6/30/2008	0 APA Points assessed annually

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	0		
2005	0		
2006	2		
2007	0		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Annually, the Auditor of Public Accounts audits agency records and provides recommendations for improving accountability, internal controls and financial management. ABC received two recommendations for IT security in FY06.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 186 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department o	f Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Administrative Services

### **Objective**

Maintain Compliance With State Administrative And Financial Policies

### Measure #6

Number of times ABC is out of compliance on DOA Compliance Report

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Down

Date

### **Measure Methodology**

**Annual Audit** 

Value

#### **Measure Baseline**

2	6/30/2004	2004 - 2 times out of compliance

Description

### **Measure Target**

Value	Date	Description
0	6/30/2006	0 times out of compliance annually

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	2		
2005	0		
2006	1		
2007	0		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

This measure denotes ABC's compliance with the Commonwealth's accounting and financial mangement policies, internal controls, procedures, regulations and best practices.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 187 of 201

Secretary 06 Public Safety	rmt
Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	
Service Area Administrative Services	
<u>Objective</u> Maintain Compliance With State Administrative And Financial Policies	
Measure #7	
Percent of discretionary procurement to Small Women and Minority owned businesses (SWaM)	

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Up

### **Measure Methodology**

SWaM procurement dollars divided by total agency procurement dollars

### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
27.93	6/30/2006	2006 - 27.93% SWaM participation
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
40	6/30/2008	2008 - 40% SWaM participation

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	27.93		
2007	34.23		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Represents percentage of total discretionary expenditures spent with small, women and minority businesses for FY07. Several initiatives have been implemented in an effort to increase the percentage as described in the Agency SWaM Plan, to include additional outreach programs, attendance at SWAM business functions, etc.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 188 of 201

rmt

### Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)

#### **Service Area**

Administrative Services

### **Objective**

Increase Transfers of Profits and Taxes

#### Measure #8

We will increase combined profits & taxes annually transferred to the General Fund & Localities

Key Measure Type		Preferred Trend
X	Outcome	Up

### **Measure Methodology**

ABC Profits plus state taxes plus general sales tax equals contributions to General Fund. Source: Data Warehouse

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
172.8	6/30/2006	FY 2004 - \$172.8 million

#### **Measure Target**

value	Date	Description
225.0	6/30/2008	FY 2008 -\$225 million

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annuai Measure		
2004	172.8		
2005	200.0		
2006	210.4		
2007	218.1		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Profits include store sales, licensing fees and ABC's portion of the wine liter tax. \$4.1M of profits are transferred to localities. ABC collects state taxes on store sales and forwards quartely to the General Fund. ABC is progressing toward the target of \$225M by FY2008.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 189 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department of	f Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Administrative Services

### **Objective**

Increase Transfers of Profits and Taxes

#### Measure #9

We will improve the accuracy of ABC Profit Forecasts

Key Meas	ure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
X		Outcome		Down

### **Measure Methodology**

5

Source: ABC financial data. Comparison of actual vs. forecasted sales, expenses and profits.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
6	6/30/2006	FY 2006 - 6% error rate
Measure Target Value	Date	Description

FY 2008 - 5% error rate

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2006	6		
2007	2		
2008			

6/30/2008

### **Explanatory Note**

Revenues are estimated using historical avg. sales prices multiplied by the quantity of distilled spirits sold. Both are forecasted independently using a variety of modeling techniques.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 190 of 201

### Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)

#### Service Area

Administrative Services

#### **Objective**

Reduce Employee Turnover Rate

#### Measure #10

#### Classified turnover rate

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Down

### **Measure Methodology**

Number of employees separated in 12 months divided by the number of classified employees in the same 12 month period. Source: data Warehouse

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
12	6/30/2004	2004 - 12%
Measure Target	Date	Description
		·
10	6/30/2008	2008 - 10%

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	11.7		
2005	9.7		
2006	8.7		
2007	11.6		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

This is a workforce measure of the number of employees who separated (quit, fired, retired) from the agency in the fiscal year versus the total number of employees still employed on the last day of the fiscal year. Classified turnover up 33% due to a large number of retirements and resignations.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 191 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department o	f Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Administrative Services

### **Objective**

Reduce Employee Turnover Rate

#### Measure #11

### Wage employee turnover rate

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Down

### **Measure Methodology**

Number of employees separated in 12 months divided by the number of wage employees in the same 12 month period. Source: Data Warehouse

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
47	6/30/2004	2004 - 47%
Measure Target	Date	Description
value	Date	Description
28	6/30/2008	2008 - 28%

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	47.0		
2005	53.0		
2006	50.0		
2007	44.6		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

This a workforce measure of the number of wage employees who separted (quit, fired) from the agency in the fiscal year versus the total number of employees still employed on the last day of the fiscal year. Various employee retention strategies are being developed/implemented in an effort to reduce the turnover rate for wage employees.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 192 of 201

Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
<u> </u>	Department	of Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Administrative Services

### **Objective**

To ensure that resources are used efficiently and programs are managed effectively, and in a manner consistent with applicable state and federal requirements

#### Measure #12

Ratings for all categories of Governor's Management Scorecard.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Output	Up

### **Measure Methodology**

Percent of Governor's Management scorecard categories marked as meets expectations for the agency. Number of categories that agency meets expectations divided by total number of categories.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
86	6/30/2005	FY 2005 - 86%
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
Value	Dute	Becomption
100	6/30/2008	FY2008 - 100%

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2005	86		
2006	86		
2007	55		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Drop in 2007 results measure is due to new catergories added in 2006, and the agency is working to meet these new expectations.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 193 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department of	f Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Alcoholic Beverage Control Retail Store Operations

### **Objective**

Maintain ABC Store Undeage Buyer (UAB) Compliance

### Measure #13

### UAB compliance rate for ABC Stores

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Outcome		Up

### **Measure Methodology**

Number of UAB sales divided by the number of total UAB sales. Source: Data Warehouse

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
97	6/30/2004	2004 - 97%
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
100	6/30/2008	Annual rate of 100%

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	97		
2005	98		
2006	97		
2007	99		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Underage buyer alcohol checks were conducted at 319 ABC stores with 97% of the stores being in compliance.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 194 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department of	f Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Alcoholic Beverage Control Retail Store Operations

### **Objective**

**Increase Customer Satisfaction** 

#### Measure #14

Number of stores meeting service standards compliance rate.

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend	
	Outcome		Up

### **Measure Methodology**

The number of stores meeting service standards divided by the total number of stores checked (Mystery Shopper Program). Source: Data Warehouse.

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
78	6/30/2005	Baseline is the current annual average of 78%
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
95	6/30/2008	2008 - 95% service standard compliance rate

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2005	78		
2006	90		
2007	92		
2008			

## **Explanatory Note**

The Mystery Shopper program ensures that ABC store personnel are adhering to Alcohol Laws while providing a high level of servce to customers. Out of the 306 stores checked for compliance, 281 met service standards.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 195 of 201

Secreta	ary 06	Publi	ic Safety							rmt
Depa	rtment	t of Alc	oholic B	eve	rage	Control	(999)			
<u>Objectiv</u>	ic Bever <u>/e</u> e Custo	age Con	trol Retail sfaction	Store	e Opera	ations				
Numbe	er of stor	es meeti	ing design	stan	dards.					
	Key Measure Measure Type Preferred Trend Outcome Up									
	e Method		ina etanda	rde a	c a no	rcentage	of total sto	orac		
INUITIDE	1 01 5101	es meen	ing Standa	iius a	s a pe	iceillage	UI lUlai Sil	JI 65.		
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Baselii 29		Date 6	/30/2	006	Descriptio				
<b>Measur</b> Value	e Target		Date			Descriptio	n			
	75		6	/30/2	800	2008 - 7	75%			
<b>Measure</b> Year	<b>Data</b> Annual M	1easure								
2006		29								

# Explanatory Note

69

--

2007

2008

Design standards are cost effective design alternatives that improve the store environment, lighting and space utilization. The increase in performance is based on a change in the methodology used for evaluating store design standards.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 196 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department o	f Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Alcoholic Beverage Control Retail Store Operations

### **Objective**

**Increase Customer Satisfaction** 

#### Measure #16

### Acceptable ABC store density rate

Key Measure	Measure Type	Preferred Trend
	Outcome	Down

#### **Measure Methodology**

Virginia population divided by the number of ABC stores equals population per ABC Store. Data Source: US Census and ABC New Store Business Plan.

Note to DPB: There was some discussion concerning the political sensitivity of this measure. This has been a measure with targets in VA Results for last five years with no comments from the public or community groups. Measure was reworded to be more neutral. While the density shows some growth, the level of stores relative to population is equivalent to the mid 1980's and is still far below neighboring states. ABC believes this to be an important measure that directly supports its funding requests for new stores.

#### Measure Baseline

Value	Date	Description
25,209	6/30/2004	2004 - 25, 209 per store
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
23,000		

#### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	25,209		
2005	24,788		
2006	24,207		
2007	23,707		
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

This is a measure of Virginia population per ABC store. The close alignment between ABC's store network expansion and state population growth results in improved customer servce and increased revenues.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 197 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department of	f Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Alcoholic Beverage Control Retail Store Operations

### **Objective**

**Increase Customer Satisfaction** 

#### Measure #17

Overall customer satisfaction with ABC Stores by annual customer survey results

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Up

### **Measure Methodology**

Percent of store customers responding "very satisfied" on store survey. Source: Data Warehouse

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
91	6/30/2004	2004 - 91 % Satisfied
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
94	6/30/2008	2008 - 94%

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	91		
2005			
2006	91		
2007			
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Customer satisfaction surveys are conducted every 2 years on even numbered years. The next survey will be completed in 2008.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 198 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department o	f Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Alcoholic Beverage Purchasing, Warehousing and Distribution

### **Objective**

Provide Efficient and Effective Warehouse and Inventory Management

### Measure #18

### Inventory turn rate

Key Measure Measure Type Preferred Trend
Outcome Up

Date

### **Measure Methodology**

Costs of goods sold divided by average inventory. Source: Data Warehouse

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value

7.6	6/30/2004	2004-inventory turn rate is 7.6
Measure Target Value	Date	Description
7.6	6/30/2004	2008 - Greater than or equal to inventory turn rate of 7.6

Description

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	7.6		
2005	7.6		
2006	7.2		
2007	7.1		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Inventory turnover represents the number of times inventory is sold and replaced over a specified period.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 199 of 201

Secretary 06	Public Safety	rmt
Department o	f Alcoholic Beverage Control (999)	

Alcoholic Beverage Purchasing, Warehousing and Distribution

### **Objective**

Provide Efficient and Effective Warehouse and Inventory Management

### Measure #19

### Percentage of stockouts

Key Measure	Measure Type		Preferred Trend
	Outcome		Up

## **Measure Methodology**

Percent of products available in store on the day store shipment is received. Source: Data Warehouse

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
97	6/30/2004	2004 - 97% product available
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
97	6/30/2008	2008- Greater than or equal to 97%

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	97.0		
2005	97.0		
2006	96.0		
2007	97.1		
2008			

### **Explanatory Note**

Represents the percentage of products in stores to meet customer demand at a specified time period.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 200 of 201

Alcoholic Beverage Purchasing, Warehousing and Distribution

### **Objective**

Provide Efficient and Effective Warehouse and Inventory Management

### Measure #20

### Warehouse Labor Productivity

Key Measure Measure Type Preferred Trend
Outcome Up

### **Measure Methodology**

Cases shipped divided by man-hours. Source: Data Warehouse

#### **Measure Baseline**

Value	Date	Description
33.59	6/30/2007	2007- 33.59 cases shipped per hour
<b>Measure Target</b> Value	Date	Description
36.15	6/30/2010	2010 - 36.15 cases shipped per hour

### **Measure Data**

Year	Annual Measure		
2004	36.19		
2005	36.15		
2006	36.15		
2007	33.59		
2008			

#### **Explanatory Note**

This is a productivy measure that represents the number of cases shipped per labor man hours through the warehouse.

Friday, January 04, 2008 Page 201 of 201